SINGLE CENTRE CLINICAL EXPERIENCE WITH FIDAXOMICIN IN THE TREATMENT OF CLOSTRIDIUM DIFFICILE INFECTION IN SLOVAKIA
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SUMMARY

Objective: Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) has become one of the most common causes of hospital-acquired infections. Fidaxomicin is one of the latest antibiotics used in the treatment of CDI, however, treatment cost affects recommendations for its use in several countries. We have analysed the treatment of our patients with CDI, treated by fidaxomicin since it was introduced to the market in 2018 and became available in the second biggest Slovak hospital, University Hospital of L. Pasteur. Our aim was to determine efficacy and safety of fidaxomicin in the treatment of CDI in Slovak patients.

Methods: We reviewed all courses of fidaxomicin use in our hospital (n = 60). Fidaxomicin was used for first recurrence (12 times), second recurrence (4 times), third recurrence (2 times), and fifth recurrence (1 patient). 41 patients received fidaxomicin first-line.

Results: Success of fidaxomicin treatment was recorded at 86.7% within the whole cohort. In the recurrent Clostridium difficile infection (rCDI) subgroup, fidaxomicin was 63% effective with three patients dying (15.7%) and two patients developing subsequent rCDI. During the duration of the study, 6 patients in total died. Only one of three patients, with three or more recurrences of CDI, had no further presentations after eight weeks of completion of treatment.

Conclusions: The biggest benefit from fidaxomicin treatment was shown in a cohort of patients with primary CDI infection demonstrating a low recurrence rate and significant reduction of fidaxomicin effectiveness in preventing a recurrence when treating patients with multiple rCDI.
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INTRODUCTION

Antibiotics displayed their dark side at the end of the last century. As well as the growth of multi-resistant hospital acquired bacterial species, clostridium enterocolitis was a newly acquired illness difficult to manage. Clostridium difficile infection has become one of the most common causes of hospital-acquired infections. Antibiotic therapy causes alterations to the intestinal microbial composition, enabling Clostridium difficile colonization and consecutive toxin production leading to disruption of the colonic epithelial cells. Clinical symptoms of CDI range from mild diarrhoea to potentially life-threatening conditions like pseudomembranous colitis or toxic megacolon (1). Clostridium difficile, with its ability to produce spores and colonise the gastrointestinal tract, became a major public health problem.

On top of the increased incidence of Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) there was an increase in the recurrence of CDI (rCDI). Recurrent CDI is associated with significantly increased mortality. CDI incidence rate depends on the local prevalence of CDI and it increases with inpatient’s length of stay, age and immunodeficiency (2).

Based on Slovak guidelines, severe illness is defined if at least one risk factor is present in a patient with CDI:
• Age over 65
• Concurrent treatment with broad spectrum antibiotics
• Antibiotic treatment in past 3 months
• Prolonged admission (longer than 3 weeks)
• Previous or current stay in a carer institution
• Complex underlying illness (immunocompromised patients, cancer patients and transplant patients)
Abdominal surgery, colorectal carcinoma, chronic inflammatory bowel disease (mainly Crohn’s disease)

- Treatment with pH altering medications (antacids, H2 antagonists, proton pump inhibitors)
- Nasogastric or PEG feeding
- Hypoalbuminemia
- Chronic renal insufficiency (clearance of creatinine lower than 0.5 mL/s)
- Diabetes mellitus
- Fever over 38.5 °C
- Evidence of severe colitis.

The prevalence of asymptomatic Clostridium difficile colonization varies depending on the number of hosts, pathogens, and environmental factors; asymptomatic colonization may be underestimated as routine stool culture is not practical in a clinical setting. Individuals who are colonized by the organism have the potential to contribute to its transmission in healthcare settings. Public Health Authority of the Slovak Republic estimate that 7–11% of inpatients, 5–7% of people using social facilities or nursing homes and about 2% of outpatients are colonised with Clostridium difficile (2).

The incidence of CDI increased by tenfold in the last five years. There were 263, 922, 1,121, 1,435 and 1,942 cases in 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 respectively. In 2017, 2,604 CDI cases were reported (morbidity 47.9/100,000), this is a 25.4% increase compared with 2016. CDI was reported in every region of Slovakia. The highest incidence was reported in Bratislava (90.5) and the lowest in Banska Bystrica (28.6). National CDI incidence based on age group are as follows: less than one year = 41, 1–4 = 37, 5–9 = 9, 10–14 = 2, 15–19 = 15, 20–24 = 13, 25–34 = 56, 35–44 = 65, 45–54 = 205, 55–64 = 295, 65+ = 1,866. The majority of these cases were hospital acquired (1,935 – 74.3%). (4)

First line antibiotics are losing efficacy in the treatment of CDI (metronidazole), or increased selective pressure on bacterial resistance (vancomycin). This highlighted a need for a new antibiotic. The answer was fidaxomicin; a bacteriocidic, macrolide antibiotic with a narrow spectrum of effectiveness – primarily against Clostridium difficile. It is one of the most recently discovered antibiotics on the market, which is associated with higher cost when compared to other commonly used antibiotics (5).

A large number of specialist clinics recommend treatment with fidaxomicin for severe cases of CDI or rCDI. Its cost however, leads to sparse utilisation of this antibiotic. Australasian Society for Infectious Diseases recommends fidaxomicin as first line treatment for the second recurrence of CDI or second line refractory CDI. This recommendation is based on a low cost-effectiveness of fidaxomicin as a first-line treatment for severe CDI (6). However, there is some evidence suggesting its cost effectiveness when assessing prevention of recurrence and complications (7).

Slovak guidelines for the diagnosis and management of CDI follows recent recommendations from specialised clinics and despite its cost, can be used for the benefit of not only the patient but also public finances. Vancomycin continues to be first line treatment, but fidaxomicin can be used for treatment of recurrent CDI as well as treatment of severe CDI of patients with high risk of recurrence (2).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We collected data from 60 adult patients, aged 18 and over, using a single centre retrospective cohort study from 01/01/2013 to 01/01/2018. University Hospital of Louis Pasteur in Košice, Slovakia (UHLP) has 1,356 beds, 15,000 admissions a year and is the second biggest hospital in Slovakia.

Diagnosis of CDI: diagnosis was confirmed using RIDA®QUICK Clostridium difficile Toxin A/B Control, RIDA®QUICK Clostridium difficile GDH Control test performed on patients with diarrhoea or a finding of pseudomembranous enterocolitis during colonoscopy.

Data collected included basic demographic data (age, sex and duration of admission), place of primary infection of CDI, severity of CDI, number of recurrences, previous antibiotic treatment and previous CDI treatment, recurrence following fidaxomicin, adverse effects of fidaxomicin treatment, immunity status, pre-existing oncological diagnosis and chemotherapy prior treatment.

Patients were divided into 4 groups based on severity of CDI (8). (Table 1)

CDI risk was determined using ATLAS and CSI (C. difficile severity index) scoring system (Table 2 and 3). ATLAS – Bedside Scoring System is composed of 5 components: Age, Temperature, Leukocytes, Albumin, and Systemic antibiotics (2).

Recurrence of CDI was diagnosed as CDI recurrence less than 8 weeks from initial CDI Infection. Successful treatment was defined as:

**Table 1. CDI classification based on disease severity (8)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Signs</th>
<th>Associated risk factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild to moderate</td>
<td>Diarrhea without systemic signs of infection, WBC count &lt; 15,000 cells/mL, serum creatinine &lt; 1.5 times baseline</td>
<td>Antibiotic use, previous hospitalization, longer duration of hospitalization, use of PPI, receipt of chemotherapy, chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Systemic signs of infection, and/or WBC count &gt; 15,000 cells/mL, or serum creatinine &gt; 1.5 times the premorbid level</td>
<td>Advanced age, infection with BI/NAP1/027 strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe, complicated</td>
<td>Systemic signs of infection including hypotension, ileus, or megacolon</td>
<td>See above, plus recent surgery, history of IBD, and intravenous immunoglobulin treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent</td>
<td>Recurrence within 8 weeks of successfully completing treatment for CDI</td>
<td>Patient age &gt; 65 years concomitant antibiotic use, presence of significant comorbidities, concomitant use of PPI, and increased initial disease severity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBC – white blood cells; PPI – proton pump inhibitors; IBD – intestine bowel disease
1. Reduced frequency of bowel motion or consistency of bowel motion without mucus on day 3.
2. No evidence of severe colitis.
3. No signs of organ failure/septic shock.

RESULTS

During the duration of the study, 4,819 stool samples were tested of which 784 tested positive for CDI (Table 4). During the observed period there were 206 CDI related admissions to the Department of Infectology and Travel Medicine of UHLP. Ten patients were readmitted twice and two patients required three readmissions related to CDI. 50 patients were treated with fidaxomicin (200 mg twice daily, orally). Ten other patients were also treated with fidaxomicin (200 mg twice daily, orally) on different wards of University Hospital of Louis Pasteur. Fidaxomicin was used for first recurrence (12 times), second recurrence (4 times), third recurrence (2 times) and fifth recurrence (1 patient). 41 patients received fidaxomicin first-line. The average length of admission was 10.2 days.

The study cohort consisted of 60 patients, 35 females and 25 males respectively. Average age of patients admitted was 72.45 years (range 35 to 94 years). When sorting patients depending on risk groups, 12 patients were in the less than 60 year old group, 23 patients were in the 60–80 year old group and 25 patients were over 80 years old. Eight patients died; two of them died as a result of illness not related to CDI (cerebrovascular accident, endocarditis prior to CDI).

All patients included in this study had severe CDI based on the Slovak guidance for assessment and management of CDI. Depending on ATLAS score severity; 15 patients had moderate CDI, 33 patients had severe CDI and 12 patients had severe complicated CDI (Table 5). CSI and ATLAS scores of patients treated with fidaxomicin are listed in Table 6 and 7. First presentation of CDI was treated in 41 patients based on high risk of recurrence. 19 patients developed recurrence.

Fidaxomicin was well tolerated and no adverse reactions were observed in the duration of the study. Treatment failed in eight patients treated by fidaxomicin; six patients progressed into septic shock and subsequently died. Two patients treated with fidaxomicin developed rCDI within eight weeks of first treatment. Success of treatment was recorded at 86.7% within the whole cohort. In rCDI subgroup fidaxomicin was 63% effective with three patients dying (15.7%) and two patients developed subsequent rCDI.

DISCUSSION

Based on the most recent in vivo and in vitro studies, fidaxomicin is a very effective antibiotic. One of the biggest advantages is its narrow spectrum of efficacy and pharmacokinetics that only sparsely affects gastrointestinal flora and other anatomical systems. The biggest disadvantage is its high cost when compared to vancomycin (9, 10).

Median effectiveness in other studies varies between 50% (11) and 92.5% (9, 13). Our study showed 86.7% effectiveness in the whole cohort without assessing recurrence. In patients treated for CDI and less than two rCDI, fidaxomicin was 90.2% effective in treatment and 100% effective in preventing further recurrence. Timing of treatment seems to make the biggest impact of its effectiveness. If treating patients with first presentation of CDI or first or second recurrence of CDI, fidaxomicin is shown to be superior to vancomycin. Fidaxomicin loses its superiority to vancomycin when treating patients with multiple recurrences (over three) (11, 12).

The cost effectiveness of fidaxomicin has to be viewed in a broad setting. Higher cost may predispose the use of this anti-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>0 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>2 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt; 60</td>
<td>60–79</td>
<td>&gt; 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>&lt; 37.5 °C</td>
<td>37.5–38.5 °C</td>
<td>&gt; 38.6 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC count (cells/mL)</td>
<td>&lt; 16,000</td>
<td>16,000–25,000</td>
<td>&gt; 25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/L)</td>
<td>&gt; 35</td>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic ATB treatment</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBC – white blood cells; ATB – antibiotics
Scores above 6 suggested increased mortality

1. ATLAS – prediction of mortality scoring system for patients with CDI (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>0 points</th>
<th>1 point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5 times normal</td>
<td>&gt; 1.5 times normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC count (cells/mL)</td>
<td>&lt; 20,000</td>
<td>&gt; 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/L)</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>&gt; 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBC – white blood cells
Scores above 2 suggested increased mortality
biotic in only the most severe cases. In our cohort, patients with mild presentation of CDI but high risk of recurrence, were also treated with fidoxamicin. Our patients treated with fidaxomicin for first presentation as well as first or second recurrence of CDI did not develop further recurrence of CDI. It has to be noted that our result may be skewed due to the small number of patients, when compared to larger studies (14, 15).

Patients with multiple rCDI had an increased risk of further recurrence, 66.7%. Only one of three patients, with three or more recurrences of CDI, had no further presentations after eight weeks of treatment. The remaining two patients developed a recurrence within 28 days. It is important to note that in this small group no deaths were observed despite severe CDI. Similar findings are already documented in other studies with a small number of patients (11, 12, 16).

The phrase 'Prevention is better than cure' is commonly used in medical circles and it can be applied in CDI management. Preventing Clostridium difficile transmission and infection continues to be a challenge. The epidemiology of this infection is changing, but basic rules still apply. To reduce the incidence of CDI, three principles must apply: prevention of CDI, surveillance of CDI (through mandatory reporting) and prevention of further transmission of CDI. Primary prevention measures are aimed at antibiotic restriction policies, early patient mobilisation and the reduction of length of hospital stay. Key components for CDI surveillance are appropriate case definitions of CDI and standardized CDI diagnostics with a focus on high risk departments. Basic measures to prevent transmission include isolation of every case of CDI (especially while diarrhoea is present) and environmental disinfection of CDI. Hand hygiene is a crucial recommendation for preventing and controlling the transmission of CDI, including the use of disposable gloves and handwashing with soap and water (2).

To summarise, our results correlate with recently published studies showing the potential use of fidaxomicin in the prevention of recurrences of CDI when treating patients with first presentation and up to two recurrences of CDI. Just as demonstrated by Enoch et al. (11), we too have recorded a significant reduction of its effectiveness in preventing a recurrence when treating patients with multiple rCDI. This finding needs to be studied further due to the limited number of patients. Despite its cost, prevention of recurrence leads to increased savings of public finances by preventing recurrent infections in patients with milder forms of CDI when risk factors for recurrence are present.
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