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SUMMARY

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the rate of contact sensitization to selected cosmetic allergens, i.e. ingredients of dental materials, in students of dental medicine and dental patients.

Methods: A total of 50 participants were included in the study: 40 students of dental medicine exposed to the studied allergens during the course of practical education; and 10 randomly selected dental patients without occupational exposure to the investigated substances served as a control group. All of them were patch-tested with colophonium, myroxylon pereirae resin, paraben mix, fragrance mix I, isopropyl myristate, triclosan, polysorbate 80, compositae mix II, and hydroperoxides of limonene.

Results: The sensitization rates for colophonium and polysorbate 80 were the highest. For the group of dental students, we established significantly higher sensitization rate for colophonium compared to the ones for myroxylon pereirae resin and hydroperoxides of limonene ($\chi^2 = 4.93; p = 0.026$), paraben mix ($\chi^2 = 3.8; p = 0.05$), isopropyl myristate ($\chi^2 = 6.56; p = 0.01$), and triclosan ($\chi^2 = 8.5; p < 0.001$); and to polysorbate 80 compared to the ones for myroxylon pereirae resin and hydroperoxides of limonene ($\chi^2 = 3.97; p = 0.046$), isopropyl myristate ($\chi^2 = 5.47; p = 0.02$) and triclosan ($\chi^2 = 7.34; p = 0.007$). Significantly increased concomitant sensitization rate to compositae mix and to hydroperoxides of limonene was established ($\chi^2 = 12.55; p < 0.001$). Generally, the incidence of concomitant sensitization to the studied allergens in the whole studied population was high.

Conclusions: Colophonium and polysorbate 80 could be outlined as sensitizers of paramount importance for both dental students and dental patients. We consider the major importance of exposure to colophonium during the course of practical education in dentistry for the onset of the sensitization. Sensitization to compositae mix was observed only among dental students. We consider the leading role of consumer exposure for the onset of the sensitization to triclosan and to hydroperoxides of limonene. Unexpected and unreported reactions of concomitant sensitization were observed.
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INTRODUCTION

Dental professionals are occupationally exposed to numerous chemical substances as ingredients of various dental materials (e.g. methacrylates, metals), medicinal products, medicines, disinfectants, hand wash products, etc., many of which represent both allergens and irritants. This exposure occurs during the course of their practical education in dentistry and their professional activity.

There is an impressive array of cosmetic products available on the market today, and the number of new products continues to increase. Their main ingredients can be classified into fragrances, preservatives, vehicles, ultraviolet absorbers, emollients, emulsifiers, acrylates, and others (1). It is estimated that 1–5.4% of the population is sensitized to cosmetic or cosmetic ingredient. The most frequently identified cosmetic allergens are fragrances (2, 3). Many of them are ingredients of dental materials and products for use in dentistry as well.

Plant resins (colophonium and myroxylon pereirae mix) are also known sensitizers, common ingredients of both cosmetic products and dental materials (4). The spectrum of colophonium (also known as rosin) applications is immense. In dentistry it is a component in dental impression materials and periodontal packings (5). Myroxylon pereirae mix (balsam of Peru) has 3 main uses: fragrance in perfumes and toiletries, flavouring in food and drinks, and healing properties in medicinal products (6). Parabens are the most commonly used preservatives in topical pharmaceutical preparations and in cosmetics (7). Triclosan is used as an antimicrobial agent in personal care products such as toothpastes, mouth washes and antibacterial soaps, and also in consumer products such as cleaning products, plastics and toys (8). Isopropyl myristate is a popular cosmetic, oral hygiene products and pharmaceutical ingredient, synthetic oil used as an emollient, thickening agent or lubricant, a clinically relevant cosmetic series allergen (9). Polysorbate 80 is emulsifier and...
dispersing agent for medicinal products for internal use that could induce hypersensitivity reactions (10). Compositae contact allergy refers to allergic reactions caused by a group of plants commonly known as Compositae, an alternative name for the official term Asteraceae. Limonene is a naturally occurring terpene, present in large amounts in various citrus fruits (11). According to the study of Toholka et al., among the top 20 allergens were fragrance mix 1, Myroxylon pereirae, colophony (rosin), and Compositae mix (12).

According to the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work (EU-OSHA), statistics show that 18- to 24-year-olds might be at risk of the development of occupational illnesses while still young or later in life. New to the workplace, young people may lack experience and often lack both physical and psychological maturity. They may not take seriously enough the risks they face (13). Our previous studies indicate increased incidence of sensitization to substances relevant for everyday dental practice among dental students (14−18), so according to our own experience we could outline this group as a vulnerable one.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the rate of contact sensitization to selected cosmetic allergens, i.e. ingredients of dental materials, in students of dental medicine and dental patients, and to identify a possible risk of early onset of sensitization after 3–6 years of exposure during the practical training in dentistry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

A total of 50 participants were included in the study: 40 students of dental medicine (19 males and 21 females, mean age 25.30 ± 4.1), exposed to the selected substances listed below, i.e. ingredients of dental materials, in students of dental medicine and dental patients, and to identify a possible risk of early onset of sensitization after 3–6 years of exposure during the practical training in dentistry.

Methods

Skin patch testing with colophonium (20.0%/pet), myroxylon pereirae resin (25.0%/pet), paraben mix (12.0%/pet), fragrance mix I (8.0%/pet), isopropyl myristate (20.0%/pet), triclosan (2.0%/pet), polysorbate 80 (5.0%/pet), compositae mix II (5.0%/pet), and hydroperoxides of limonene (0.2%/pet, Chemotechnique Diagnostics) was performed according to the Jadassohn-Bloch classical methods for diagnosis of contact allergy, by placing the allergens in IQ-Ultra hypoallergenic patches of Chemotechnique Diagnostics (IQ Chambers®, Vellinge, Sweden). Lack of anti-allergic medication constituted a mandatory condition before placing the patches and during the testing. Patches with allergens were applied on the back of the tested individuals, reading of the test was performed after 48 hours exposure, followed by control reactions reading in 72 and 96 hours after patch test removal. For the interpretation of the test result the following scheme was used (International Contact Dermatitis Research Group - ICDRG):

(-) Negative reaction
? Doubtful reaction
+ Weak reaction (non-vesicular)
++ Strong reaction (oedematous or vesicular)
+++ Extreme reaction (ulcerative or bullous)
IR Irritant reaction

Weak, strong and extreme reactions were classified as positive; and negative, irritant and doubtful reactions as non-positive.

Statistical Analysis

The statistics were calculated mainly with SPSS 19.0. Comparing two independent proportions (comparison of proportions), expressed as a percentage of the relevant sample, the so-called „N-1“ Chi-squared test, Campbell (2007) and Richardson (2012) was used. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Data concerning the incidence of positive patch test reactions to the studied substances in the investigated groups are summarized in Table 1. The highest sensitization rates for both defined groups were for colophonium and polysorbate 80. A significantly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allergen</th>
<th>Positive reactions in Group A (n = 40) n (%)</th>
<th>Positive reactions in Group B (n = 10) n (%)</th>
<th>Positive reactions Total N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colophonium</td>
<td>12 (30.0)</td>
<td>1 (10.0)</td>
<td>13 (26.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myroxylon pereirae resin</td>
<td>4 (10.0)</td>
<td>1 (10.0)</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraben mix</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>1 (10.0)</td>
<td>6 (12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragrance mix I</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
<td>1 (10.0)</td>
<td>9 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropyl myristate</td>
<td>3 (7.5)</td>
<td>1 (10.0)</td>
<td>4 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triclosan</td>
<td>2 (5.0)</td>
<td>2 (20.0)</td>
<td>4 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysorbate 80</td>
<td>11 (27.5)</td>
<td>1 (10.0)</td>
<td>12 (24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compositae mix</td>
<td>6 (15.0)*</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>6 (12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroperoxides of limonene</td>
<td>4 (10.0)</td>
<td>2 (20.0)</td>
<td>6 (12.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Positive reactions observed only in Group A
increased incidence of sensitization to colophonium, if compared to myroxylon perieae resin and hydroperoxides of limonene ($\chi^2=4.93; \ p=0.026$), paraben mix ($\chi^2=3.6; \ p=0.05$), isopropyl myristate ($\chi^2=6.56; \ p=0.01$), and triclosan ($\chi^2=8.5; \ p<0.001$) was established amongst dental students. For this group, we revealed significantly increased sensitization to polysorbate 80, if compared to myroxylon perieae resin and hydroperoxides of limonene ($\chi^2=3.97; \ p=0.046$), isopropyl myristate ($\chi^2=5.47; \ p=0.02$) and to triclosan ($\chi^2=7.34; \ p=0.007$).

Furthermore, for the whole studied population, the incidence of sensitization to colophonium was significantly higher compared to myroxylon perieae resin ($\chi^2=4.29; \ p=0.038$) and to isopropyl myristate and riclosan ($\chi^2=5.6; \ p=0.017$).

The distribution of concomitant sensitization to substances included in the study in the group of dental students and among all tested individuals is presented in Table 2. The incidence of concomitant sensitization to compositae mix and to hydroperoxides of limonene ($\chi^2=12.55 \ p<0.001$) was significantly higher. Generally, the incidence of concomitant sensitization to the studied allergens among all tested subjects was high – 26% had positive skin patch test reactions to 3 allergens, 24% to 2, 14% to 5, and 12% to 4. Positive skin patch test reactions were not recorded only among two individuals.

### DISCUSSION

It is difficult to estimate the frequency of adverse reaction to cosmetics ingredients in the general population, and it is most likely underestimated due to the fact that most people do not seek medical advice and simply discontinue to use the product suspected of triggering a reaction.

Plant resins are uniquely different in composition from other plant-based secretions. They ward off pathogens, insects and other animals by sealing puncture sites on the tree and have antiseptic properties. Colophonium is the term collectively used for the solidified, distilled form of resins from pines, cedars, spruce, firs, and junipers (19). Its pervasiveness likely contributes to its ranking as No. 1 of the top 10 allergens in many countries and makes it an important source of occupational contact dermatitis (20). Colophonium is commonly found in dentistry products, namely sealants and topical medications. One of the principle components of neoprene surgical gloves is colophony (21). According to our results, the highest was the incidence of sensitization to colophonium (30%) for the group of dental students, with statistical significance, compared to myroxylon perieae resin, hydroperoxides of limonene, paraben mix, isopropyl myristate, and triclosan. We could suggest a major importance of the exposure to colophonium during the course of practical education in dentistry for sensitization onset. Balsam of Peru is the most widely used marker for diagnosis of fragrance allergy. This natural resin extracted from Myroxylon perieae, a tree that grows in Central and South America, was originally used to heal wounds and cure infections. It can be found in perfumes, cleaning products, topical medications, dental cements, root canal fillings and impression materials, cosmetic products, and food aroma compounds. Balsam of Peru is composed of 250 chemical substances, some fragrance allergens (e.g., cinnamyl alcohol, cinnamyl aldehyde, eugenol, and isoeugenol). Cross-reactions may occur to colophonium and fragrance mix I (22). According to Belloni et al., myroxylon perieae resin is among the 10 most frequent haptnets (20). Our findings do not confirm these results – the established sensitization rate was 10% for both defined groups. Single cases of concomitant sensitization to myroxylon perieae resin and the other allergens included in the present pilot study were observed. Based on the results achieved, we cannot consider it as a significant and concomitantly reacting sensitizer for dental students. Fragrances were declared the Contact Allergen of the Year for 2007 by the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allergen</th>
<th>Dental students (n = 40)</th>
<th>Whole population (n = 50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colophonium</td>
<td>Myroxylon perieae resin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colophonium</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myroxylon perieae resin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraben mix</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragrance mix I</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopropyl myristate</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triclosan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysorbate 80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compositae mix</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroperoxides of limonene</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
American Contact Dermatitis Society. Available tools to assess fragrance allergy are fragrance mix I and fragrance mix II. Recently, the highest prevalence of contact allergy in the general population of five European countries was found for fragrance mix I (23). In the present pilot study, we performed patch testing with fragrance mix I, since it includes eugenol – a widely used as an ingredient in personal hygiene products, toothpastes, mouthwash, pharmaceutical creams for its antiseptic properties, as well as in dental cement, impression materials and periodontal packings, thus giving the characteristic odour of dental surgeries. Cross-reactions with Peru balsam were described. In our study, fragrance mix I was ranked as the third important allergen for dental students with sensitization rate of 17.5%. No significant cross-reactivity with Peru balsam was revealed. Polysorbate 80 is emulsifier and dispersing agent used in numerous cosmetics and foods, as well as in dentistry – in endodontic irrigants for disinfection, mouthwashes, and multiple medical preparations (24). Surprisingly, in our study polysorbate 80 was found to be the second important allergen for dental students with sensitization rate of 27.5% – significantly higher compared to myroxylon pereira resin, hydroperoxides of limonene, isopropyl myristate, and triclosan. Moreover, 36.4% of dental students with positive skin patch tests to polysorbate 80 were sensitized to colophonium as well, and 27.8% of these students were concomitantly sensitized to fragrance mix I. No similar data was found in the available literature. This is a pilot study and the investigated sample is too small to give a categorical statement on these findings. Cross-reactivity between composite allergens and balsam of Peru, colophonium, essential oils and fragrances was described by Paulsen and Andersen (25). We established a relatively high sensitization rate to compositae mix – 15%, with positive patch tests observed only among dental students. No similar results were reported. Moreover, our findings confirm that 50% of individuals allergic to composite mix were sensitized to hydroperoxides of limonene, and 33.4% to colophonium. Interestingly, 50% of individuals allergic to composite mix had positive patch test reactions to polysorbate 80. No similar findings were available in literature. The sensitization rate for isopropyl myristate among dental students was low compared to other tested allergens – 7.5%. It should be emphasized that 66.7% of the sensitized to isopropyl myristate individuals had positive patch test reactions to colophonium as well. Repeated applications of relatively low concentrations of parabens in medications and cosmetics may lead to sensitivity. Paraben mix is a mixture of 5 different paraben esters: methyl-, ethyl-, propyl-, butyl- and benzyl-parahydroxybenzoate. Two or more paraben esters are often found in one product (e.g. cosmetics, pharmaceutical creams, liquid soaps) so it is useful to test paraben sensitivity with paraben mix, as there is a high incidence of cross-reactions between the esters. In the present study, the sensitization rate to paraben mix among dental students was 12.5%, but 80% from the sensitized to parabens exhibited positive skin patch test reactions to compositae mix as well. Healthcare settings often use antimicrobial/antisepic hand wash products with high concentration of triclosan. The sensitization incidence to triclosan established in our study was the lowest observed in the group of dental students (5%) and among the highest ones (20%) in the group of dental patients. Furthermore, 100% of the sensitized to triclosan in the group of dental students and 75% of dental patients had concomitant positive reaction to polysorbate 80, and 50% of the sensitized to triclosan from both groups exhibited concomitant positive reaction to hydroperoxides of limonene.

Further work is needed to validate reliability of the present findings. Proper occupational risk information and personal protection should be recommended for dental students.

CONCLUSION

Colophonium and polysorbate 80 could be outlined as sensitizers of paramount importance for both dental students and dental patients. We consider the major importance of the exposure to colophonium during the course of practical education in dentistry for the onset of the sensitization. Sensitization to compositae mix was observed only among dental students. We consider the leading role of consumer exposure for the onset of the sensitization to triclosan and to hydroperoxides of limonene. Unexpected and unreported reactions of concomitant sensitization were observed.
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