PREVALENCE OF VIRAL HEPATITIS IN CROATIAN ADULT POPULATION UNDERGOING ROUTINE CHECK-UP, 2010–2011
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SUMMARY

Seroprevalence data on viral hepatitis in the general population vary widely. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of hepatitis A (HAV), hepatitis B (HBV) and hepatitis C (HCV) viruses in the general Croatian adult population undergoing routine check-ups. The seroprevalence of anti-HAV, anti-HBc and anti-HCV was 40.5%, 7.0% and 0.9%, respectively. HBsAg was found in 0.7% and anti-HBs antibodies in 24.4% of participants. Gender was not associated with HAV, HBV or HCV seropositivity. HAV and HBV seropositivity increased progressively with age (HAV from 11.7% to 90.4%, p < 0.001; HBV from 1.7% to 15.8%, p < 0.001). Participants from rural areas showed a significantly higher HBV seroprevalence rate than those from urban areas (10.7% vs. 6.1%, p = 0.007). Results of univariate and multiple logistic regression showed that older age was a significant predictor for both HAV and HBV seropositivity while rural place of residence was a significant predictor for HBV seropositivity.
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INTRODUCTION

Viral hepatitis infections constitute a global public health problem. More than two billion people are infected with hepatitis A (HAV), hepatitis B (HBV) and hepatitis C (HCV) viruses. HBV and HCV cause 600,000 and 350,000 deaths, respectively, each year (1). In endemic regions, HAV is transmitted primarily through close contact or as result of inadequate sanitation while in low endemic areas HAV infections are commonly linked to travel to endemic areas and to contaminated imported food (2–5). In some European countries, the seroprevalence of HAV varies from 32% to 88% (6–10). HBV is transmitted by parenteral or mucosal exposure to infectious blood or secretions, most often through intravenous drug use (IDU) or sexual contact with an infected person. The seroprevalence of HBV in the general population varies widely between European countries. Prevalence of hepatitis B antigen (HBsAg) is reported to range from less than 1% to 8%, prevalence of hepatitis B core antibodies (anti-HBc) from 1.9% to 22.6% (7, 8, 11–17). The principal mode of HCV transmission is through significant or repeated direct percutaneous exposure to infectious blood or blood products. Seroprevalence of HCV varies in different population groups. Seropositivity is high in high-risk groups such as IDUs, variable among hemodialysis patients and persons with high-risk sexual behaviour and low in voluntary blood donors (16, 18–24).

There are very few published seroepidemiological data on the distribution of viral hepatitis in the general Croatian population. The aim of this study was to determine the seroprevalence of HAV, HBV and HCV among healthy adults who underwent a routine check-up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

During a two-year period (2010–2011), a total of 2,052 consecutive patients aged 20–86 years who underwent a routine check-up (part of physical examination, prior to orthopedic or cardiac surgery, couples undergoing medically assisted reproduction) were tested for the presence of anti-HAV total antibodies, HBsAg, anti-HBs antibodies (anti-HBs), anti-HBc and anti-HCV antibodies, based on the request of the referring physician. No participant showed symptoms of acute hepatitis. There were 924 (45.0%) males and 1,128 (55.0%) females from 4 of 20 Croatian counties (three counties in the Croatian mainland and one county on the Adriatic coast). All participants were of Croatian nationality.

Methods

Anti-HAV, HBsAg, anti-HBs, and anti-HBc total antibodies were detected using an automated enzyme-linked fluorescent assay (Mini Vidas, BioMerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). Anti-HAV as well as anti-HBc positive participants were tested for the
RESULTS

Of 749 participants tested, 303 (40.5%, 95% CI = 36.9–44.0) showed anti-HAV antibodies. The overall prevalence of HBsAg and anti-HBc (with anti-HBs) was 0.7% (95% CI = 0.4–1.1; 15/2009) and 7.0% (95% CI = 5.8–8.3; 109/1552), respectively. No HBsAg positive participant was anti-HBc IgM or HBeAg positive. In all HBsAg positive participants anti-HBc antibodies were detected. The prevalence of isolated anti-HBs was 24.4% (95% CI = 22.3–26.6; 379/1552). Eighteen of 1,930 patients (0.9%, 95% CI = 0.5–1.4) showed anti-HCV antibodies (Table 1).

The seroprevalence of HAV, HBV and HCV markers according to participant characteristics is shown in Table 2. Gender was not associated with HAV (p = 0.297), anti-HBc (p = 0.371) or HCV (p = 0.476) seropositivity. According to age, significant differences in HAV and HBV seropositivity between age groups were found. HAV seroprevalence increased progressively with age from 11.7% to 90.4% in 20–29-year-olds to persons above 60, respectively (p < 0.001). Anti-HBc seropositivity increased from 1.7% in the youngest to 15.8% in the oldest age group (p < 0.001). Age-specific anti-HBs seroprevalence did not follow the same pattern as anti-HBc prevalence. Age groups 20–29 and 40–49 had a significantly higher (p < 0.001) seroprevalence of anti-HBs (31.5% and 33.9%, respectively) than the remaining three age groups (30–39 age group 19.1%, 50–59 age group 20.0%, and 60+ age group 19.2%) (Fig. 1). HCV seroprevalence did not differ among age groups (0.7–1.7%, p = 0.081). Participants residing in rural areas showed significantly higher HBV seropositivity than participants residing in urban areas (10.7% vs. 6.1%, p = 0.007).

There was no difference in HAV (p = 0.463) or HCV (p = 0.553) seroprevalence according to the place of residence.

Results of univariate logistic regression (Table 3) suggest a steady increase of strength of association with age for both HAV and HBV seropositivity. Rural place of residence was a significant predictor for HBV seropositivity (OR = 0.543, 95% CI = 0.355–0.831).

Multiple logistic regression showed that age was a significant predictor for both HAV (OR = 1.116, 95% CI = 1.098–1.134) and HBV (OR = 1.040, 95% CI = 1.027–1.054) seropositivity, while living in rural areas was a significant predictor for HBV seropositivity (OR = 0.62, 95% CI = 0.402–0.958) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first report on the seroprevalence of viral hepatitis performed on a large sample in the general Croatian population. In the past twenty years several similar studies were conducted in Croatia but only in specific areas (25) or among certain population groups, such as blood donors (23), IDUs (19, 20, 26), persons with high-risk sexual behaviour (22, 27), and prison population (28, 29).

In this study, the overall seroprevalence of HAV was found to be 40.5%, echoing seroprevalence studies in other developed countries (3, 6). It is not possible to distinguish post-vaccination
immunity from immunity following natural infection by serologic testing. According to the Croatian National Institute of Public Health Immunization Department data, hepatitis A vaccine consumption in Croatia is very low, with less than a thousand vaccine doses used annually. Such a low vaccination rate (hepatitis A vaccine usage has been increasing in the last decade, reaching only 1.5 dose per 10,000 population annually in 2012) cannot explain the seroprevalence found in our study. Since vaccination rates against HAV in Croatia are very low, the immunity is most probably the result of naturally acquired infection. Although some studies found men to be generally at greater risk of HAV infection than women (7, 8), we found no difference in HAV seropositivity between men and women (38.6% vs. 42.5%, p = 0.297). Similarly to other published studies (6, 8, 10), HAV seropositivity was strongly age-dependent and increased progressively from 17.3% in 20–29-year-olds to 90.4% in participants above 60 (p < 0.001). Older people had a greater probability of becoming infected with HAV due to poorer hygiene and sanitation in the past (30). In some studies, higher seroprevalence rates have been correlated with persons residing in rural regions (8). In our study, there was no significant difference in HAV seropositivity according to the place of residence (43.2% in participants residing in rural areas and 39.7% in those residing in urban areas, p = 0.463).

The prevalence of chronic HBV infection (HBsAg positive) in the general population varies among European countries. The HBsAg carrier rates are reported to be less than 1% in the Netherlands (12), France (13), Italy (15), Spain (31), the Czech Republic (32), Slovenia, and Hungary (33). Higher prevalence

### Table 2. Seroprevalence of HAV, HBV and HCV according to participants characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Anti-HAV</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Anti-HBc</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Anti-HCV</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60+</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A = not applicable

### Table 3. Univariate logistic regression for the risk of HAV, HBV and HCV seropositivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Anti-HAV OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Anti-HBc OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Anti-HCV OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male vs. female gender</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.634–1.137</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.819–1.788</td>
<td>1.552</td>
<td>0.61–3.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>1 (referent group)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>1.812</td>
<td>0.976–3.367</td>
<td>2.734*</td>
<td>1.032–7.244</td>
<td>5.429</td>
<td>0.692–42.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>5.964*</td>
<td>3.142–11.321</td>
<td>5.932*</td>
<td>2.251–15.627</td>
<td>N/A (0 cases)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban vs. rural residence</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.605–1.238</td>
<td>0.543*</td>
<td>0.355–0.831</td>
<td>1.997</td>
<td>0.457–8.724</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A = not applicable

*significant at 0.05 level

### Table 4. Multiple logistic regression for the risk of HAV, HBV and HCV seropositivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Anti-HAV OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Anti-HBc OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Anti-HCV OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male vs. female gender</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>0.649–1.329</td>
<td>1.307</td>
<td>0.877–1.946</td>
<td>1.608</td>
<td>0.629–4.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (one year increase)</td>
<td>1.116*</td>
<td>1.098–1.134</td>
<td>1.040*</td>
<td>1.027–1.054</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>0.980–1.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban vs. rural residence</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.465–1.107</td>
<td>0.620*</td>
<td>0.402–0.958</td>
<td>2.093</td>
<td>0.477–9.187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant at 0.05 level
rates were found in Kosovo 2.4% (8), Greece 3.4% (14), Bulgaria 4.0% (33), and Romania 5.6% (16). HBsAg prevalence among Croatian adult patients who underwent routine screening or a preoperative check-up was 0.7%. Similar results were reported by Polish authors who tested a group of surgical and gynecologic patients and found HBsAg in 0.6% of patients (34).

In this study, naturally acquired HBV infection (anti-HBs positive/anti-HBc positive) was documented in 7.0% of participants. The HBV seroprevalence in Croatian patients is comparable to that of Luxembourg (6.4%) (6), France (7.3%) (11), Italy (8.4%) (11), and Spain (8.7%) (31), while Kosovo and Greece showed much higher seropositivity rates (18.4% and 22.6%, respectively) (8, 17). Our results showed no difference in HBV seropositivity between males and females (7.7% vs. 6.4%, p = 0.37) while in a study conducted in Greece, significantly higher anti-HBc rates were found in men, compared to women (17). Like other studies (6, 8, 16, 17, 31), the present results showed that the prevalence of anti-HBc antibodies tends to increase with age (1.7–15.8%, p < 0.001). This older population most likely came into contact with HBV before it was recognized through hospital care, exposure to different medical procedures or blood transfusions (17).

There is only one published study on the seroprevalence of HBV in a limited area, in northeast Croatia (Community of Slavonski Brod), from 1994 (25), showing two times higher HBsAg and anti-HBc prevalence than found in our study (1.8% and 13.7%, respectively). Echoing the present findings, there was no difference in HBV prevalence among males and females. However, in contrast to the study from Slavonski Brod, our results showed that rural areas had a significantly higher HBV infection rate than urban ones (10.7% vs. 6.1%, p = 0.007). Similar results have been reported by Greek authors (17).

In this study, post-vaccination immunity against HBV (anti-HBs alone) was documented in 24.4% of participants, which is comparable to that in Italy (23.8%) (11) and France (22.2%) (13). Age-specific prevalence of anti-HBs is relatively high (31.5%) in the 20–29 age group, as expected, since about 40% persons in this age group should have been vaccinated against hepatitis B according to the National Immunization Program. Immunization against HBV was introduced in Croatia in 1999 at the age of 12. Additionally, very low prevalence of anti-HBs in this age group (1.7%) confirms that the prevalence of anti-HBs in the 20–29 age group is primarily the result of vaccination. The crude incidence of hepatitis B in the last five years has decreased about 40%, compared to the pre-vaccination period, and the greatest age-specific reduction of >90% is observed in the 15–19 age group, followed by the 20–29 age group which experienced 70% reduction in hepatitis B incidence (35). This disproportionate reduction in age-specific incidence is clearly the result of universal vaccination and is reflected by the high seroprevalence of anti-HBs in the 20–29 age group in our sample. The highest prevalence of anti-HBs in the 40–49 age group (33.9%) is somewhat unexpected, since the seroprevalence of anti-HBc shows a steady increase with age. However, as we do not have any information on vaccination status of the study subjects, we cannot exclude the possibility that a significant proportion of persons in this age group is vaccinated against hepatitis B due to their occupational requirements, as contacts of HBV carriers or as members of some other risk groups.

A low prevalence of anti-HCV (0.9%) suggests that HCV infection is uncommon in both urban (1.0%) and rural (0.5%) general Croatian population. The similar prevalences of HCV (0.5–1.1%) have been reported by the majority of European countries (8, 11–13, 17, 34). However, some countries such as Italy and Romania reported higher seroprevalence rates (2.7% and 4.5%, respectively) (16, 36). Two Croatian studies published in 2000 and 2009 have shown that 0.035% of blood donors (23) and 0.5% of pregnant women are seropositive to HCV (22).

In conclusion, the results of this large seroprevalence study in the Croatian adult population undergoing routine check-ups show that the seroprevalence rate of HAV (40.5%), HBV (HBsAg 0.7%, anti-HBc 7.0%) and HCV (0.9%) seems to echo the seroprevalence rates of many European countries. Older age was a statistically significant risk factor for both HAV and HBV seropositivity while rural place of residence was a significant predictor for HBV seropositivity. Gender does not play an important role for HAV, HBV or HCV seropositivity in the general population. Our finding on seroprevalence of HAV and HBV (anti-HBc) increasing with age is in accordance with the observed decrease in the incidence of these diseases in the population. Hepatitis A incidence decreased at a steady rate from an average of 100 per 100,000 population in the 1950s to less than 1 per 100,000 in the last decade (35), which explains the significant difference in seroprevalence rates by age group.

The average incidence of hepatitis B (acute, chronic and asymptomatic HBsAg carriage together) dropped from 10 per 100,000 population in the late 1970s (reporting introduced in 1976) to 5 per 100,000 in the last five years (35). Age-specific seroprevalence of HBV infection is thus believed to reflect changing epidemiology of hepatitis B characterized by a decrease in incidence.

Information regarding the status of viral hepatitis immunity is useful in the planning of health care and infection control measures.
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