SECONDARY PREVENTION OF ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROME. SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND LIFESTYLE DETERMINANTS: A LITERATURE REVIEW
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SUMMARY

Although cardiovascular disease mortality rates seem to decline, especially among middle-aged people in developed countries, the prevalence of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) increases, representing the most common cause of morbidity in both developed and developing countries and generating large economic burden. It is estimated that one fifth of the ACS patients die suddenly and half of them belong to a fast growing population age-group, i.e., those between 70 and 80 years. A substantial number of these deaths has been attributed to various lifestyles, modifiable factors; therefore, it can be prevented. However, factors such as dietary habits and behaviours, physical activity, life stress and smoking habits, although thoroughly discussed, are not well understood and appreciated in the spectrum of secondary ACS prevention. The latter deserves further attention under the prism of socio-economic status that has changed dramatically in the last years in some populations. The aim of this review was to discuss the role of lifestyle factors on secondary ACS prevention under the prism of individual’s socio-economic status. Based on the retrieved information it was revealed that there is vast evidence that secondary prevention of cardiovascular events cannot be accomplished simply through medical treatment, but it requires a multifaceted approach incorporating lifestyle modifications, too. Therefore, public health policy endeavours should be directed towards multifocal strategies, i.e., to motivate and support cardiac patients to consistently follow treatment regimens and to establish more effective and efficient community lifestyle interventions.
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INTRODUCTION

According to recent cardiovascular disease (CVD) statistics, although death rates seem to decline or be constant, especially among middle-aged people, the prevalence of acute coronary syndrome (ACS) increases, representing the most common cause of morbidity in both developed and developing countries (1). The latter has also serious economic consequences generating large burden in almost all societies, especially under the current global financial crisis (2). Moreover, a vast majority of ACS patients will have a recurrent event and a substantial number of these events are attributed to various lifestyle modifiable factors such as dietary habits and behaviours, physical activity, life and occupational stress, and smoking habits (3). Although these factors have long been studied and discussed, it seems that they are not well understood and appreciated in the spectrum of secondary ACS prevention. The aforementioned considerations deserve further attention, especially now, when significant socio-economic changes occur in societies. Thus, the aim of this review was to present current scientific knowledge on the relationship between lifestyle behavioural factors and secondary ACS prevention, and to discuss how these may be modified by individuals’ socio-economic status, especially under the prism of the current financial crisis.

Literature Search

A literature review of national and international studies was performed in databases of PubMed, Scopus and Scholar Google using key words and terms such as acute coronary syndrome; coronary heart disease; unstable angina; incidence future trends and burden of CVD; health care expenditures of CVD; secondary prevention of ACS (including secondary prevention goals, predictors); lifestyle-behavioural factors and secondary prevention of CVD (i.e., nutritional habits, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption); socio-economic status and CVD (including educational level, occupation). A ten-year time frame was set (2003–2013) in order to include the most recent and updated prospective cohorts, case-control, cross-sectional studies, randomised clinical trials as well as meta-analysis with some exceptions for including large-scale studies or reports with critical data and definitions. Retrieved studies were published in English language. Studies referring only to medical treatment and clinical therapeutic interventions such as coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass were excluded since this was beyond the aim of this review. Furthermore, public health policy statements by national organizations and related papers were also retrieved and discussed. Thus, 203 original research papers were retrieved, published between May 2003 and June 2013; 63 of them were discussing prospective cohorts, 8 case-control studies, 53 cross-
sectional studies, 29 randomised clinical trials, and 50 were discussing meta-analysis and systematic reviews.

Lifestyle Factors and Secondary Prevention of ACS

The British Heart Foundation stated that ACS is the most preventable manifestation of CVD and secondary prevention should be focused on the adoption of healthier lifestyle behaviours. Additionally, more than 50% in the reduction of ACS mortality is attributable to favourable changes in risk factors, while 43% to new medical and surgical treatment (4). In cases when health-risk behaviours are combined with hypertension, obesity, hypercholesterolemia, and type 2 diabetes mellitus, they account for over three quarters of ischaemic heart disease and they lead to a life span drop by almost 5 years (5). Furthermore, the American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology have strongly recommended that healthier lifestyle patterns can be regarded as a “risk-reduction therapy” for secondary prevention of CVD (6). Despite the well-known benefits of making healthier choices, it should be acknowledged that patients do not follow the recommended advices, even shortly after an ACS event and accordingly adherence to healthy habits has declined in the last years, posing an alarming increase in the future trends of CVD (7).

Mediterranean Diet and Secondary ACS Prevention

Mediterranean diet has long been evaluated in relation to CVD prevention. Since the 1970s, where the historical Seven Countries Study, under the guidance of Ancel Keys, first reported the beneficial health effects of the traditional Mediterranean diet on CVD mortality in seven countries and 16 cohorts around the world (8), there has been developed a strong body of scientific evidence associating Mediterranean diet with better human health, and particularly with reduced CVD morbidity and mortality risk, through several mechanisms (9). However, the influence of this traditional dietary model on secondary prevention of CVD has not been understood and appreciated. The Lyon Diet Heart Study was one of the first studies that evaluated the role of Mediterranean type of diet on secondary prevention of CVD in free living subjects (10). The main goal was to measure the effectiveness of a certain dietary pattern, increased intake of fruits, vegetables, cereals, fish and α-linolenic acid. Indeed, the findings were impressive demonstrating a 50% to 70% lower risk of recurrent heart disease events among subjects who followed the intervention dietary pattern. In line with the Lyon Heart Study, the GRECES observational study in the short-term and up to 1-year follow-up revealed that ACS patients who followed a dietary pattern close to the Mediterranean experienced lower risk of recurrent cardiac events (11). Within the framework of the European Perspective In Cancer (EPIC) project, the investigators, pointed out that the association between Mediterranean diet and mortality was about 5 times stronger among coronary patients as compared to healthy individuals (12).

Regarding other dietary factors, increased salt consumption has been reported to have an adverse effect on the disease prognosis (13), however, it was observed that the effect of salt intake was not associated with the development of ACS as long as the participants followed a balanced diet (14). Fish intake also seemed to have a protective effect on the disease progression; particularly mortality from CHD may be reduced by eating fish at least once a week (15). Regarding the consumption of dietary fats, polyunsaturated and monounsaturated fats have been associated with a substantially reduced mortality risk, while trans-fatty acids, saturated fat and cholesterol intake should be avoided (16). However, it is worth mentioning that clinical benefits of the most abundant polyunsaturated fatty acids, omega-6 linoleic acid have not yet been established (17). Finally, the inverse association between red meat intake and risk of CHD has not been adequately supported (18).

Despite the favourable association between healthy diet and reduced CVD risk, maintaining dietary changes in daily life after an ACS event seems extremely difficult. The dynamics of dietary factors and CHD progression are complex and multi-factorial. Thus, to enhance adherence to dietary recommendations in the long run, further efforts are needed to define public health strategies for continuous motivation. According to the most recent Joint ESC Guidelines, the future challenge is to translate nutritional guidelines into diets that are attractive to people and to find ways to make people change their long-standing dietary habits (19) (Table 1).

Alcohol Consumption and Secondary ACS Prevention

Alcohol drinking has also received a special attention in CVD prevention. In the early 1980s, the Framingham Heart Study documented the cardioprotective effect of light to moderate alcohol consumption (up to two drinks/day) in primary CVD prevention (20). Since then, recent data have shown a relationship between moderate drinking and reduced mortality in CVD patients (21). Regarding the type of alcoholic beverages and the pattern of drinking, it has been demonstrated that moderate consumption of wine during meals could reduce mortality, while wine drinking outside meals increased mortality rates (22).

The Lyon Diet Heart Study showed that moderate wine consumption in CHD patients was associated with higher levels of “marine” ω3 fatty acids in plasma (23). It seemed that alcohol had an “aspirin-like” thrombolytic effect by reducing blood-clotting risk (24). However, some studies support that the beneficial effects of moderate drinking after a heart disease should be evaluated with caution and must be tailored-made since they may be modulated by genetic factors, by the pre-MI frequency of alcohol-consumption and by other dietary, lifestyle and socioeconomic factors that influence the underlying risk of coronary heart disease (25, 26).

At this point it should be acknowledged that there is a strong correlation between drinking and cigarette smoking, probably due to the fact that prolonged use of both substances may create dependency (27). Another critical aspect on the health benefits of moderate alcohol consumption is that people who drink in moderation may have a different lifestyle habits from people who do not drink or drink heavily, and the obvious healthy effects may be mostly due to favourable risk profiles in moderate drinkers (28). However, further research is needed to reveal the causal effect between moderate alcohol consumption and disease progression (Table 2).

Physical Activity Status and Secondary ACS Prevention

Physical activity is an important health-related determinant. Exercise seemed to have beneficial effects on CVD risk by
modifying the metabolic, haemostatic, hormonal, and vascular endothelial function (29).

It has been suggested that the key point between physical inactivity and CVD was obesity, through which several pathological mechanisms lead to atherosclerotic process (30). A daily or most days of the week, 30 minute moderate physical activity, could contribute to serious improvements in cardiovascular health (29). Marital status has been also stated that positively influence physical activity and participation in cardiac rehabilitation programmes (31, 32).

Physical activity has been regarded as the most important non-pharmaceutical mean for secondary CVD prevention but the type, frequency and intensity of activity must be based on a comprehensive medical judgement and tailored to the patient’s clinical profile (co-morbidities, disability status, preferences,

| Table 1. Review of studies that have evaluated the role of Mediterranean Diet in secondary prevention of ACS |
| Source | Study target population | Type of study | Follow-up period/Study design | Main findings |
| Erkkila et al. 2003 (16) | A total of 1,302 Greek CHD patients (726 men, 576 women) | Cohort study | 5 years follow-up of patients participating in the EUROASPIRE study recording dietary intakes through food records and serum lipids. | High proportions of n-3 fatty acids in serum lipids were associated with a substantially reduced risk of death. |
| Panagiotakos et al. 2006 (11) | A total of 2,172 ACS patients (1,649 men, 523 women) from 6 hospitals | Cohort study | 1 year of follow-up, the patients were surveyed for nutritional and lifestyle habits, socio-demographic characteristics. Medical information was retrieved through patients’ hospital records. | Background dietary habits close to the Mediterranean diet seemed to be associated with lower severity of coronary heart disease. |
| Trichopoulou et al. 2007 (12) | A total of 2,671 MI patients from 9 countries | Population-based prospective investigation | 6.7 years of follow-up (the EPIC cohort), recording dietary intakes through a validated food frequency questionnaire. | The Mediterranean diet pattern was associated with substantial reduction of total mortality of coronary patients in the community. |
| He et al. 2004 (15) | A total of 222,364 individuals with an average 11.8 years of follow-up | Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies | Observational studies from 1966 to 2003, studying the association between fish intake and CHD mortality. | Fish consumption was inversely associated with fatal CHD. |
| De Lorgeril et al. 1999 (10) | A total of 275 ACS patients | Randomized secondary prevention trial | 4 years of follow-up | The protective effect of the Mediterranean dietary pattern was maintained up to 4 years after the first infarction. |

| Table 2. Review of studies that have evaluated the role of Alcohol Consumption in secondary prevention of ACS |
| Source | Study target population | Type of study | Follow-up period/Study design | Main findings |
| Rehm et al. 2003 (22) | – | Literature review | – | Heavy drinking occasions as well as drinking outside meals were related to increased CHD risk. Physiological mechanisms have been identified to explain this complex relationship between alcohol and CHD. |
| Mukamal et al. 2001 (26) | A total of 1,913 adults hospitalized with myocardial infarction | Prospective – cohort study | 4 years of follow-up | Moderate alcohol consumption in the year prior to AMI was associated with reduced mortality following infarction. |
| Collins et al. 2009 (24) | – | Review article | – | Alcohol had an “aspirin-like” thrombolytic effect by reducing blood-clotting risk. |
| Lee et al. 2009 (28) | A total of 12,519 subjects | Prospective – cohort study | Data collection through interviews | Moderate drinkers had better risk factor profiles than non-drinkers, including higher SES. |
| Costanzo et al. 2010 (21) | A total of 16,351 CVD patients | Meta-analysis study | 54 publications were retrieved, including only patients with diagnosed coronary heart disease | In CVD patients, light to moderate alcohol consumption was significantly associated with a lower incidence of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality. |
Study target population – Main findings
Follow-up period/Study design

Patients who were hospitalized in the cardiology clinics or the emergency units of 6 major general hospitals in Greece from 2003–2004. To evaluate the assoc. between PA & clinical outcome.

Physical activity was associated with a reduced severity of ACS, reduced in-hospital mortality rates, and improved short-term prognosis.

Subjects’ BMI was evaluated in relation to fatal or non-fatal CHD events.

The key point between physical inactivity and CVD was obesity, through which several pathological mechanisms lead to atherosclerotic process.

Partner status and physical activity. Accelerometers were used to measure physical activity after hospital discharge.

Living alone was associated with adverse outcomes after acute coronary syndromes.

Physical activity has been regarded as the most important non-pharmaceutical mean for secondary CVD prevention, but need to be tailored to the patients’ needs.

Study target population – Type of study – Follow-up period/Study design – Main findings

Pitsavos et al. 2008 (29) – Cohort study –
2,172 ACS patients (76% men and 24% women) –

Logue et al. 2011 (30) – Prospective observational study –
1,300 men (mean age 55 years) with hypercholesterolemia with no history of CVD –

Green et al. 2013 (31) – Prospective observational study –
107 ACS patients –

Vanhees et al. 2012 (33) – Review article –

Table 3. Review of studies that have evaluated the role of Physical Activity in secondary prevention of ACS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Study target population</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Follow-up period/Study design</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pitsavos et al. 2008 (29)</td>
<td>2,172 ACS patients (76% men and 24% women)</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>Patients who were hospitalized in the cardiology clinics or the emergency units of 6 major general hospitals in Greece from 2003–2004. To evaluate the assoc. between PA &amp; clinical outcome.</td>
<td>Physical activity was associated with a reduced severity of ACS, reduced in-hospital mortality rates, and improved short-term prognosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logue et al. 2011 (30)</td>
<td>1,300 men (mean age 55 years) with hypercholesterolemia with no history of CVD</td>
<td>Prospective observational study</td>
<td>Subjects’ BMI was evaluated in relation to fatal or non-fatal CHD events.</td>
<td>The key point between physical inactivity and CVD was obesity, through which several pathological mechanisms lead to atherosclerotic process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green et al. 2013 (31)</td>
<td>107 ACS patients</td>
<td>Prospective observational study</td>
<td>Partner status and physical activity. Accelerometers were used to measure physical activity after hospital discharge.</td>
<td>Living alone was associated with adverse outcomes after acute coronary syndromes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanhees et al. 2012 (33)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Review article</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Physical activity has been regarded as the most important non-pharmaceutical mean for secondary CVD prevention, but need to be tailored to the patients’ needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Smoking Status and Secondary ACS Prevention

The link between smoking and heart disease has been documented since the 1960s in the Framingham Heart and the Seven Countries studies (8, 34). Up to date continuous data reinforce the association between smoking and excess CVD morbidity and mortality (35). The British Heart Foundation reported that CVD patients who quit smoking reduce their CVD death rate by about 40% – substantially more than the reductions seen with statins (22%) or aspirin (15%) (4). The possible explanation is that smoking accelerated atherosclerosis (i.e. damage to the endothelial lining) process by increasing LDL and reducing HDL cholesterol blood levels, raising blood pressure, increasing tendency for blood clotting by impeding endogenous fibrinolysis and damaging cells that line coronary arteries (36).

However, despite the vast evidence of the harmful cardiovascular effects of cigarette smoking, patients fail to follow physicians’ recommendations to quit smoking, and even if they stop during their hospitalisation, they relapse immediately after discharge (37). Almost 21% of the cohort-patients who were followed in the EUROASPIRE II, conducted three and half years after the EUROASPIRE I, were current smokers (38). More than half of CHD patients did not quit smoking even 1 month after hospital discharge because of an unfavourable smoking and disease history and a poor social cognitive profile (39). Human behaviour plays a critical role in the primary and secondary disease prevention. Cognitive-behavioural methods intend to explain and predict healthy behaviours and thus can ultimately contribute to implementation of more effective health promotion strategies and consequently to the improvement of public health.

Even though intervention programmes seem to have a sustained beneficial short-term effect in hospitalized patients, their effect is hard to remain if patients are not followed-up for longer period since they revert to previous lifestyle habits. The European Society of Cardiology in the Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG) reported that smoking cessation is difficult to achieve in the long-term and a simple physician’s advice is not sufficient to persuade a coronary patient to give up smoking. Thus, more active counselling, systematic follow-up and interventions are necessary (40). The Joint ESC Guidelines proposed that public health measures, like smoking bans in public areas, tobacco taxation and media campaigns are efficient aids in preventing smoking uptake and supporting smoking cessation (19). Consequently, behavioural interventions, routine screening programmes and supportive environment at home or workplace will substantially improve the identification, treatment and outcomes in CVD patients who use tobacco (Table 4).

Socio-economic Status and Secondary Prevention of ACS Event

Socio-economic status (SES) is a combined measure incorporating economic (income), social (education) and work (occupation) status. The WHO Commission on Social Determinants of Health indicated that over 80% of CVD deaths occur in low and middle income countries as a consequence of unequal living conditions, mal-distribution of health care and poor social policies (41). It has been estimated that low-income people do not have sufficient opportunities to buy healthy, affordable food leading to an increase of diet-related diseases like obesity, diabetes and CHD (42). The
Table 4. Review of studies that have evaluated the role of Smoking Status in secondary prevention of ACS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Study target population</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Follow-up period/Study design</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De Bacquer et al. 2012 (35)</td>
<td>CHD patients aged ≤ 70 years from 12 European countries</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>28,143 persons – years of follow-up</td>
<td>The study underlined the importance of smoking cessation in secondary prevention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newby et al. 1999 (36)</td>
<td>12 smokers and 12 non-smokers, free from vascular disease</td>
<td>Clinical trial study</td>
<td>Subjects received unilateral brachial artery infusions of substance P (Cinalea AG).</td>
<td>Smoking accelerated atherothrombosis through a reduction in the acute fibrinolytic capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrgakis 2009 (37)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Review article</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Patients failed to follow recommendations to quit smoking, and even if they stopped during their hospitalisation, they relapsed immediately after discharge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholte et al. 2006 (38)</td>
<td>A total of 5,551 CHD patients</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>EUROASPIRE II, which was undertaken in 15 European countries during 1999–2000.</td>
<td>The prevalence of smoking in European CHD patients remained high with one out of each five patient’s smokes, despite a personal advice to stop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berndt 2012 (39)</td>
<td>A total of 133 cardiac patients</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>Patients completed questionnaires at hospital admission and 1 month after discharge.</td>
<td>One third of cardiac patients were at high risk of continuing smoking after hospital discharge because of an unfavourable smoking and disease history and a poor social cognitive profile.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Review of studies that have evaluated the role of Socio-economic Status (SES) in secondary prevention of ACS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Study target population</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Follow-up period/Study design</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pitsavos et al. 2002 (45)</td>
<td>750 ACS patients and 869 controls with no CVD</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>Trends in cardiovascular risk factors were examined among cases and controls, regarding educational level by years of schooling.</td>
<td>In all subjects education status was related to economic and occupation status, smoking habits, physical inactivity, alcohol consumption and non-compliance to treatment. The least-educated subjects adopted a more adverse lifestyle than the more-educated subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panagiotakos et al. 2008 (46)</td>
<td>2,172 ACS patients</td>
<td>Prospective – cohort study</td>
<td>1 year of follow-up</td>
<td>Unmarried ACS patients were at higher risk of fatal events compared to married patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lammintausta et al. 2013 (47)</td>
<td>15,330 ACS patients</td>
<td>Prospective study</td>
<td>9 years of follow-up</td>
<td>Single living increased the risk of having a heart attack and worsens its prognosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koren et al. 2012 (44)</td>
<td>A total of 1,1164 acute MI patients ≤ 65 years</td>
<td>Cohort study</td>
<td>13 years of follow-up</td>
<td>MI survivors living in a deprived neighbourhood were at higher risk of repeated hospital admissions because of ACS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboa-Eboulé et al. 2007 (49)</td>
<td>A total of 972 patients with first myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Prospective – cohort study</td>
<td>9 years of follow-up</td>
<td>Chronic job strain after a first MI was associated with an increased risk of recurrent CHD.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
educated patients adopt less healthy lifestyle habits compared to the more educated, as supported by the CARDIO2000 study in which the coronary risk increased by 82% for individuals with a lower level of education, and by 65% for individuals with an average education compared to those with an academic education (45). In general, educational level seems to play an important role in the mortality and life expectancy rates.

Another interesting element examined in the GREECS study, was the influence of marital status in the short-term prognosis of patients with ACS. Never-married had 2.7-times higher risk of dying during the first 30-days following hospitalization compared to married ones (46). This result, in accordance with the population-based study recording 15,330 cases of ACS aged 35–99 years in Finland in 1993–2002, shows that unmarried patients had a worsen prognosis both in men and women regardless of age (47). Certainly, the data providing a relationship between marital status and prognosis of a second ACS event is not very clear since family situation is rather more complicated issue which can enhance or weaken well-being since several dynamics are involved in human relationships. Nevertheless, future research should be focused on the psychosocial support of certain high-risk patients with established CHD. Cardiac patients often develop depressive and anxiety episodes which not only complicate the course of the disease but also remain under-diagnosed and under-treated. Therefore, both societies and health care professionals should be able to identify, support and monitor patients susceptible to mental health problems (48).

Finally, very little is known about the relationship among occupational stress strongly related to SES and the risk of post-ACS event. Chronic job strain after a first ACS was associated with an increased risk of recurrent CHD (49). Psychological stress may also influence the risk of CHD more indirectly via health-damaging behaviours such as smoking, physical inactivity or heavy alcohol consumption (Table 5).

CONCLUSIONS

Cardiovascular diseases are the dominant contributors to the global economic burden of non-communicable diseases. Therefore, secondary prevention relies on early detection of disease process and application of interventions to prevent disease progression. The AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients with Coronary and Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update indicated the following prevention goals and management: smoking cessation, blood pressure control, healthy diet, lipid management, physical activity, weight management, diabetes management, antiplatelet agents and anticoagulants, renin, angiotensin and aldosterone system blockers, beta-blockers, and influenza vaccination (6).

In this point, it is worth mentioning that the above goals should be achieved even in the framework of primary prevention, so as to protect healthy people from developing the disease. Smoking cessation, moderate physical activity (at least 30 minutes 5 times per week), healthy eating habits, no overweight, blood pressure below 140/90 mmHg, blood cholesterol below 5 mmol/L (190 mg/dl), normal glucose metabolism and avoidance of excessive stress are the characteristics associated with cardiovascular health as stated in Article 3 of the European Heart Health Charter (50).

The cardiac patient is confronted with a great challenge in adjusting to new lifestyle pattern in a way that he/she was not used to for many years. It has been aforementioned that modest reductions in major risk factors such as smoking, cholesterol and blood pressure levels might have gained four times as many life-years as did cardiology treatments. Nevertheless, it is well documented that, even after a short period of a post-ACS, patients report a poor adherence to medical recommendations and lifestyle modifications. The economic costs of poor adherence and the impact on health-related quality of life highlighting the pressing need for quick and successful multi-focal actions, including medical treatment, dietary guidance, lifestyle counselling, stress mitigation techniques, and social support (Fig. 1).

The studies presented in this review were mainly examining the relationship between secondary ACS prevention through lifestyle habits. Positive lifestyle motivations, cognitive-behavioural therapy and supportive social and family environment may favourably affect the adverse disease prognosis.
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