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SUMMARY

The HIV infection remains a major public health issue in the world and especially in those countries where there exists unprotected sexual intercourse between people of the same sex. It is namely the category of men having sex with men (MSM) that still represents a group at high risk of being infected with HIV or transmitting the virus. The aim of our study was to present HIV 2nd generation surveillance data on MSM in Slovakia in the period between the years 2008 and 2009. Time-location sampling (TLS) was used to recruit participants and oral fluid samples together with completed anonymous questionnaires were collected simultaneously. The oral fluids were tested with use of the Genscreen HIV 1/2 version 2, (Bio-Rad) and Western Blot (Genlabs) diagnostic kits. The data analysis was performed using Stata version 8. Saliva testing revealed HIV prevalence of 6.1% (21/349) among MSM in Slovakia. 75% of HIV-positive cases were undiagnosed. The high-risk behaviour of MSM in respect to the number of their sexual partners as well as drug and condom usage did not correspond with their relatively high knowledge about HIV/AIDS. People’s attitude towards MSM was found to be the worst in the religious context. Though our results reflect a relative good knowledge of MSM about HIV/AIDS infection, new HIV-positive cases and high-risk behaviour still appear, suggesting the need for more effective HIV prevention among members of this high-risk group in Slovakia.
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INTRODUCTION

HIV infection remains an important public health issue in Europe, although according to UNAIDS the number of newly diagnosed HIV positive patients is declining. There were approximately 2.6 million (2.3–2.8 million) people who became newly infected with HIV in 2009. This number is about one fifth (19%) smaller than 3.17 million (2.9–3.4 million) people who were newly infected in 1999, and more than one fifth (21%) smaller than in 1997, when annual new infections peaked (1). There is a strong evidence of resurgent HIV epidemics among men who have sex with men (MSM) in North America and in Western Europe and this group continues to represent a population at high risk of HIV infection (2, 3). Data from 23 western countries show that the annual number of men who have sex with men diagnosed with HIV infection rose by 86% between 2000 and 2006 (4). National surveillance data from 2000–2005 show significant increase in HIV newly diagnosed cases among MSM in Australia, Canada, Germany, the Netherlands, Spain, and the United States of America, including those with recently acquired and acute infection (5). Central Europe MSM group is also a vulnerable group due to their high-risk sexual behaviour (6). In the past decade, the number of syphilis cases and other sexually transmitted infections (STI) including HCV among MSM has also increased in Western European countries (7, 8). In Eastern Europe and Central Asia unprotected sex between men is responsible for less than 1% of newly diagnosed HIV positive patients for whom the route of transmission was identified (9). HIV prevalence is 1% or higher in two countries in this region, the Russian Federation and Ukraine, which together account for almost 90% of newly reported HIV cases (10). Slovakia, a country bordering Ukraine, belongs to the countries with relatively low HIV prevalence. As of December 2010, there were 343 HIV-infected Slovaks and 114 foreigners registered in SR. About 65% of cases were infected by unprotected MSM intercourse (Staneková, personal information). Nevertheless, official data may underplay the actual extent of infection in this highly stigmatized population. Therefore, the EU project SIALON “Capacity building in HIV/Syphilis prevalence estimation using noninvasive methods among MSM in Southern and Eastern Europe” was carried out in 2008–2009 in seven EU countries. Its aim was to clarify the HIV prevalence in MSM in Europe using the Second Generation Surveillance System (SGSS), which combines monitoring of newly diagnosed HIV cases and indicators of sexual behaviour among persons in groups who are at the highest risk for infection. The goal of the EU project SIALON (2008–2009) was to gather reliable information on HIV prevalence among MSM in Southern and Eastern Europe. The 2nd generation surveillance of HIV infection was carried out in several towns of six EU countries, mostly in capitals, and preliminary data were published in the paper of Mirandola et al. in 2009 (11). The aim of our study was to provide deeper analysis of the results obtained at the end of the EU project SIALON in Slovakia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All methods used in the study are described in more detail in the paper by Mirandola et al. (11).
Study Design
The study was a descriptive multi-centre biological and behavioural cross-sectional survey carried out in Slovakia and in additional six Southern and Eastern European countries: Greece, Spain, Romania, Slovenia, the Czech Republic, and Italy, mostly in capital cities.

Ethics Committee approval was obtained and an informed consent form was collected from each respondent. The oral fluid samples and the questionnaires were collected anonymously and a barcode was used to link behavioural and biological information. Respondents interested in getting their test results were informed that the test result was not meant to be diagnostic and for this reason, they should be tested again in line with international/national guidelines.

Study Population
Participants from various MSM groups were tested by TLS method (time-located sampling) according to the following four criteria: having had sex (any kind of sex; oral and anal, penetrative or not) at least once with another man during the past 12 months before the study; having signed a written informed consent form; having agreed to answer the study questionnaire; having accepted to donate an oral fluid sample. Three exclusion criteria were adopted: age below 18 years; currently active injecting drug use (IDU) and having already participated in the study.

Sampling
Time-location (or time-space) sampling (TLS) was used to recruit representative samples. The sample size estimation study was calculated based on previous prevalence estimation studies when available (12). Four hundred persons were included in the planned survey in each country, separately.

Data Collection and Questionnaire
A self-administered pen-and-paper questionnaire taking into account UNGASS indicators (10, 13) was used to obtain information on the social, cultural and environmental context of respondents, access and barriers to voluntary counselling and testing (VCT), behavioural data on sex practices, risk-reducing strategies, condom use, STI history, self-reported/perceived serostatus and type of a partner. Steady partner was defined as a person with whom he/she was committed to and had sex, not necessarily meaning that the person was exclusively monogamous.

Oral Fluid Sampling and Testing
To collect oral fluids, the Oracol oral fluid collection kits (Malvern Medical Developments, Worcester, UK) were used. After collection, oral fluid samples were kept refrigerated and sent to the National reference centre for HIV/AIDS in Bratislava no more than 72 hours after collection. Subsequently they were sent to the Teaching Hospital–University of Verona, Immunology Unit in Verona, Italy. EIA testing Genscreen HIV 1/2 version 2, (Bio-Rad) on oral fluid sample was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All positive samples were confirmed with the Western Blot test (Genlabs). Total antibodies ELISA test was performed in order to assess sample suitability for testing.

Enrolment
Professionally trained workers from the Association of AIDS Help in Slovakia collected both self-administered anonymous questionnaires and oral fluid samples. The enrolment period was nine months.

Data Analysis
Data analysis was performed using Stata version 8. Chi-square test was used for bivariate comparisons, and multivariate logistic regression was applied to assess odds ratios (OR) and significance of independent variables for main sexual behaviour outcomes.

RESULTS

Study Population
At the end of the study in Slovakia a total of 396 oral fluid samples and 387 questionnaires were collected.

The median age of respondents was 28 years (17–64 years). Most of the respondents (354/387, 91.4%) lived in Slovakia and declared Slovak nationality (341/387, 88.1%).

From 387 respondents 209 (54.0%) and 145 (37.5%) declared their most recent qualification as secondary school and university degree, respectively. More than half of 385 respondents (64.2%) lived in a city (more than 100,000 inhabitants), less in smaller places like small town (21.8%), village (9.4%) and countryside (4.7%). Most of 386 respondents lived with male partners (29.3%), less with their parents (28.8%), with their friends (28.5%) or alone (8.8%), and (4.7%) in a heterosexual family (female partner and/or children). Those living in a city lived significantly more often with a male partner and/or friends than those living in other small places (p < 0.001). MSM in cities also significantly less often lived with parents and relatives (p = 0.001). Concerning the sexual orientation, 84.7% of 386 respondents self-identified themselves as homosexual, 13.7% as bisexual and 0.5% as heterosexuals. As far as the proportion of MSM reached with HIV prevention programmes is concerned (UNGASS indicator 9), while excluding those offered by the project SIALON, in the last 12 months condoms have been given for free to 29.7% of 356, 21.6% of 360, 9.5% of 346 and 4.8% of 349 persons in a free distribution in saunas, clubs or discos, by a gay association, by other associations, or by a health service/clinic, respectively. According to the respondents experience people’s attitude towards homosexuals or bisexuals was the worst in religious 88.5% (348/393) context comparing to others: friends 2.73% (10/366), parents 26.7% (98/367), work 23.8% (90/378), school 52.29% (194/371), political 72.22% (268/366) and gay scene 5.13% (19/370).

HIV Prevalence and HIV/STI Testing
In order to monitor HIV testing uptake, UNGASS indicator number 8 was used, which comprises the percentage of MSM tested for HIV over the last 12 months who also collected the result
of their last HIV test. In the past 12 months, 147 of 381 (38.6%) of respondents have had an HIV test, of which 81 (55.4%) and 5 (1.3%) were found HIV-negative and HIV-positive, respectively. Significantly more participants living in small places have never been tested for HIV comparing to those living in a city (p = 0.001). There were no significant differences in HIV-positive results between these two groups. Saliva testing revealed HIV prevalence of 6.1% (21/349) among MSM (UNGASS indicator 23). From these 21 MSM 5 were found HIV-positive during the last HIV test (Table 1).

Participants also declared that in the last 12 months, they have been diagnosed with one of the following sexually transmitted diseases: syphilis (0.8%), gonorrhoea (1.1%), chlamydia (3.4%), urethritis (5.3%), anogenital warts (2.1%), hepatitis B (1.1%), and genital herpes (1.1%), while no differences were found between the answers of the respondents living in the cities and in smaller municipalities.

**Behavioural Data**

**Risk Behaviour over the Last 6 Months**

Most of respondents declared to have 1–4 steady or causal partners in the last six months (Table 2). Sex with steady partners vs. casual was declared by 277 (73.08%) vs. 274 (70.8%) of 379 men, respectively, while 147 (37.7%) respondents had sex with both steady and casual partners. In our study, 99 (26.7%) vs. 4 of 370 respondents (1.1%) have had 2–5 vs. more than 50 female sexual partners, respectively.

Participants reported following psychoactive and recreational drug use before or during sex: alcohol (83.63%), viagra (10.46%), poppers (34.71%), 6.94% ecstasy, amphetamine (4.65%), cocain (5.64%), and others (57.4%).

Consistent condom use during the receptive vs. insertive anal sex with a steady and casual partner was declared by 13.14% vs. 14.44% and 20.3% vs. 25.56% of respondents, while any condom use by 19.06% vs.17.63% and 5.62% vs. 6.67% of respondents, respectively (Table 3). Additionally, condom use during the receptive vs. insertive oral sex with a steady and casual partner was declared by 0.7% vs. 2.5% and 2.6% vs. 3.0% of participants, while any condom use by 49.5% vs. 41.9% and 26.6% vs. 28.8% of participants, respectively. Additionally, 187 of 286 (65.3%) of respondents did not know HIV status of their male steady partner, while 4 (1.0%) knew that their steady partner was HIV-positive. As far as the risk behaviour of these 5 persons is concerned, 2 of them had receptive and 1 had insertive anal sex with a condom sometimes, 1 was sometimes penetrated without a condom, and 2 had often and 1 sometimes insertive or receptive oral sex with their partners without a condom, respectively. Additionally, 3 men declared that they took drugs, mostly alcohol and poppers, before or during the sexual encounter.

On the question: “In the last 6 months, how have you met your male sexual partners?” the numbers of answers were as follows: through internet profiles or chat-lines – 178 (46.0%); through newspaper advertisements – 5 (1.3%); in the bar, pub, disco or the club – 122 (31.5%); in sauna/dark room – 88 (22.7%); in cruising settings (parks, public toilets, outdoor settings, beach) – 14 (3.6%); in gay society or through their friends – 85 (22.0%); and in porno cinema – 18 (4.7%).

**Table 1. HIV status of respondents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV results</th>
<th>According to saliva testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HIV -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>According to responders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV -</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV +</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Number of steady and causal partners of respondents in the last six months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partners by age</th>
<th>Steady partners</th>
<th>Causal partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Respondents (N)</td>
<td>Respondents (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>56.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>32.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In our study, 21 persons were found HIV-positive in saliva testing. Their risk behaviour over the last 6 months was as follows: 6 had 3 and more sexual partners; 6 never did use condom during the oral sex; 2 always and 6 sometimes ejaculated into the mouth of their partner. None of the HIV-positive MSM declared usage of condom during active oral sex with their steady partner. Seven infected persons had passive oral sex with their steady partner without condom often, and just seven respondents always used condom during anal sex with their steady partner. During the oral sex with causal partner 7 MSM never used condom and just 2 used it always. Two vs. four men never used condom during the receptive vs. insertive anal sex with causal partner, respectively. Due to the relatively small number of HIV-positive MSM found in our study, precise comparative analysis of risk behaviour with the HIV-negative group was not available.

Knowledge about HIV
In our study, 89.3% (341/382) of participants knew where they could go to get an HIV test and 11.9% (46/385) vs. 12.2% (47/385) of respondents declared that having sex with only one steady, uninfected partner reduce vs. does not reduce the risk of HIV transmission, respectively. The rest of the respondents – 292, did not know the answer. From the group of 385 respondents, 360 (93%) vs. 9 (2.3%) thought vs. did not think that using a condom can reduce the risk of HIV transmission, respectively. Additionally, 91.1% (350/385) vs. 2.9% (11/385) participants agreed vs. did not agree with the sentence: “A healthy-looking person can be HIV-positive.”, respectively.

DISCUSSION
The number of respondents of the study were recruited in Bratislava. Our study revealed a total of 6.1% HIV prevalence among MSM. In SIALON I the HIV prevalence has ranged from 2.5% in Prague, 5.1% in Ljubljana, 6.4% in Bucharest, 11.8% in Verona to 17.0% in Barcelona (11). Additionally, in other small surveys, the HIV prevalence among men who have sex with men has ranged from zero in Belarus, Lithuania and parts of Central Asia to 5% in Georgia (14), 6% in the Russian Federation (15) and between 4% (in Kyiv) and 23% (in Odessa) in Ukraine (16). The 3,160 new HIV diagnoses among men who have sex with men in 2007 in the United Kingdom were the most ever reported up to that point (17). In our study, HIV prevalence in MSM was higher than that described in 1996 similar study among MSM in Slovakia (18).

In the last 12 months, about one third of our study respondents had an HIV test. Similar results were found in the MSM group in Ljubljana while in Prague, Bucharest, Barcelona and Budapest this percentage was higher (11). Our results also revealed the fact that just about one fourth of HIV-positive participants knew they were infected. Similarly, in the study from Scotland, 4.4% of MSM were found HIV-positive, from them 41.7% were undiagnosed. More than half had a negative HIV test result and perceived themselves to be HIV-negative (19). Study from New Zealand confirmed that one fifth (20.9 %) of HIV infected men were undiagnosed whereas HIV prevalence in MSM was 6.5% (20).

HIV infection could be often associated with other STI. In our study, 0.8–3.4% of participants suffered from other STI in the past. In the study conducted in Spain, 64.5% of MSM reported having sexually transmitted infection in their lifetime, and 4.8% and 3.3% reported having been diagnosed with gonorrhoea and syphilis during the previous year, respectively (21). During the period from 2000–2005, of 3.3% HIV diagnoses in Europe also increased in primary and secondary syphilis diagnoses occurring among MSM, but recent HIV testing among MSM did not seem to confirm the increase (5).

The fear of being stigmatized can compel many men who have sex with men to also have sexual relationships with women. In our study, participants self-identified themselves more often as homosexual (84.7%) and as bisexual (13.7%) than those involved in the previous study realized in Slovakia in 1996 (Staneková et al., 2000). Despite of this fact 26.7% and 1.1% participants declared that they have had 2–5 and more than 50 female sexual partners, respectively. Similarly, during the 12-month study period in Denmark, 88% of the respondents had sex with men exclusively, whereas 12% had sex with both men and women, respectively. Interestingly, bisexual behaviour was stated by 17% of the internet respondents, but only by 4% of those who responded to paper questionnaires (22). A study from Spain (21) shows that most men reported also that their sexual orientation was homosexual (89.2%) and 8.5% characterized themselves as bisexual. Other behavioural studies also suggest that African MSM often have sex with women and indicate their potential for transmitting HIV to female population (23).

Similarly to other studies (11, 22), two thirds of the respondents in our study lived in areas with more than 100,000 inhabitants. Those living in a city lived significantly more often with male partner and/or friends than those living in other small places: MSM in cities also significantly less often lived with parents and

| Table 3. Anal sex of respondents with steady partner over the last six months |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| High risk behaviour                             | Never   | Sometimes | Often   | Always  | Total   |
| N      | %      | N      | %      | N      | %      | N      | %      | N      | %      |
| Been penetrated without a condom               | 116     | 41.73  | 77     | 27.70  | 32     | 11.51  | 53     | 19.06  | 278     | 100.00 |
| Penetrated your partner without a condom        | 126     | 45.32  | 74     | 26.62  | 29     | 10.43  | 49     | 17.63  | 278     | 100.00 |
| Been penetrated with a condom                  | 147     | 53.65  | 71     | 25.91  | 20     | 7.30   | 36     | 13.14  | 274     | 100.00 |
| Penetrated your partner with a condom          | 149     | 53.79  | 69     | 24.91  | 19     | 6.86   | 40     | 14.44  | 277     | 100.00 |
CONCLUSIONS

This is the first estimate of actual and undiagnosed HIV infection among MSM in Slovakia. Whereas results of our study among MSM reflect their relatively good knowledge of the risks associated with HIV/AIDS infection, the revelation of new HIV-positive cases linked with high-risk behaviour suggests the need for more effective HIV prevention among MSM in Slovakia. The prevention should focus on raising condom use and earlier diagnosis among those who are most at risk, and encouraging safe behaviour after being diagnosed. Additionally, prevention efforts targeting HIV-positive MSM with the aim to assist them in adopting and maintaining safer sexual behaviour need to be intensified.
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