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SUMMARY

Aim: Ethical leadership was characterized by integrity, honesty and trustworthiness. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether and how ethical leadership relates to employees’ work stress, specifically the mediating role of leader-member exchange (LMX), which referred to the dyadic exchange relationships between supervisors and subordinates within the workplace.

Methods: Cross-sectional data for ethical leadership, LMX, perception of work stress, and control variables were collected through the questionnaire that included 47 multiple-choice questions and 3 open-ended questions. Double-blind design was adopted in this study. Hypotheses were tested by hierarchical regression analysis.

Results: 203 first-line technical support employees from a communications enterprise participated in this study (return ratio 98.5%). Of the respondents, 58.6% were male, average age was 35.24 years, average years in the company and in current position were 13.67 years and 11.12 years, respectively. Results revealed that the subjective evaluation of supervisors’ ethical leadership was negatively related to employees’ perception of work stress ($\beta = -0.24, p < 0.001$), and this relationship was completely mediated by LMX.

Conclusions: Through establishing high-quality LMX, ethical leadership played an important role in relieving employees’ perception of work stress.
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INTRODUCTION

Stress is a major cause of health problems throughout the world. For most individuals, work is an important part of life and everyday living (1). Thus, it is reasonable to believe that work stress plays a major role in individual stress. According to the global report from Regus in 2009, work stress affected more than half of working people (58.3%) all over the world (2).

Work stress was defined as an individual’s psychological response to a situation in which there is something at stake or exceeds individual’s capacity or resources (3). Numerous findings in various organizational settings have demonstrated that work stress was negatively related to employee health. For example, Tang et al. (4) found that work stress was negatively related to employee mental health ($r = -0.26, p < 0.05$). Due to the dominant role of leadership in organizational context, it is reasonable to believe that leadership is an important influence factor of work stress.

Ethical leadership is defined as “the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, and the promotion of such conduct to followers through two-way communication, reinforcement, and decision-making” (5). Ethical leadership involves exhibiting traits such as honesty and integrity, treating employees fairly, showing concern and respect for employees, establishing two-way communication characterized by trust and openness, encouraging employees to participate in decision-making, giving employees sufficient job autonomy, and adhering to people-oriented principle (6). As such, ethical leadership is generally regarded as an effective leadership style. For instance, Avey et al. (7) demonstrated that ethical leadership was positively related to employee psychological well-being and job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.20, p < 0.01$; $\beta = 0.38, p < 0.01$, respectively).

Considering the traits and appropriate conduct of ethical leaders, it can be predicted that ethical leadership would relieve employees’ work stress. However, there is no empirical evidence for the link between ethical leadership and work stress. To fill this gap, the first purpose of this study is clarifying the effect of ethical leadership on work stress.

Judge and Colquitt (8), for example, found that procedural justice and interpersonal justice were both negatively associated with employees’ work stress ($\beta = -0.21, p < 0.01$; $\beta = -0.13, p < 0.01$, respectively). By demonstrating fairness, ethical leaders provide a supportive context for employees to get rid of negative emotions, such as fear and anxiety, during the work (9). Correspondingly, employees’ work stress is reduced.

What is more, ethical leaders highlight two-way communication, in which both leaders and employees can express their opinions freely. On one hand, it is beneficial for employees to clarify their responsibilities and recognize leaders’ expectations (10). Previous research demonstrated that role ambiguity was a critical source of work stress (11). On the other hand, through two-way communication, employees are able to obtain supports from leaders. Leader supports have been proved negatively correlated with work stress ($r = -0.31, p < 0.05$) (12).

Ethical leaders usually provide employees with high-level job autonomy and job control, which is helpful for relieving work stress. Karasek (13) underlined the importance of job control in his job demands-control model, and found that job control was negatively related to employees’ work stress ($\beta = -0.15, p < 0.001$). Furthermore, Wong et al. (14) indicated that once employees...
obtained enough job control, work stress evoked by job demands would decrease drastically ($\beta = -0.39$, $p < 0.001$).

Finally, as ethical leaders are people-oriented, they show concerns for subordinates and attach great importance to subordinates’ developmental needs. It is helpful for relieving employees’ work stress. For example, Sosik and Godshalk (15) confirmed that transformational leadership based on charisma and individual consideration was negatively related to employees’ work stress ($\beta = -0.08$, $p < 0.05$). Based on the analysis mentioned above, we proposed that:

Hypothesis 1: Ethical leadership is negatively related to employees’ work stress.

The second purpose of this study is examining the mediating role of leader-member exchange (LMX), which refers to the dyadic exchange relationships between supervisors and subordinates within the workplace (16). Wang et al. (17) indicated that the quality of leader-subordinate relationship was a fundamental link between leader behaviour and employee response. The coefficient of the path from transformational leadership to LMX was significant ($\beta = 0.80$, $p < 0.01$), as were the coefficients of the paths from LMX to in-role performance ($\beta = 0.16$, $p < 0.05$) and extra-role performance ($\beta = 0.32$, $p < 0.01$).

Existing literature has specified the positive correlations between ethical leadership and LMX. For example, Walumbwa et al. (18) found that ethical leadership was positively related to LMX ($\beta = 0.50$, $p < 0.01$), because ethical leaders concerned about employees’ interests and personal growth. Besides, involving in two-way communication and decision-making, employees acquire job-related supports and further reinforce the relationships with their leaders (19). Brown et al. (5) carried out empirical research indicating that ethical leadership was positively associated with employees’ trust in their leaders ($r = 0.76$, $p < 0.001$), which was a key element of high-quality LMX (20). Therefore, integrity, honesty and fairness displayed by ethical leaders benefit the formation of trusting relationships with employees and result in strengthened LMX.

According to the job demands-resources model, work stress is evoked when an employee does not have enough job resources to meet job demands (21). Comparing with those in low-quality LMX, employees in high-quality LMX receive preferential treatment, performance feedback, and job-related communication, which can serve as job resources to reduce work stress (22). As such, it is reasonable to predict that LMX is negatively related to work stress. Based on the above analysis, we proposed that:

Hypothesis 2: LMX mediates the relationship between ethical leadership and employees’ work stress.

The hypothesized model is shown in Figure 1.

**Fig. 1. Hypothesized model.**
RESULTS

Means, standard deviations, and correlations were presented in Table 1. As we predicted, ethical leadership was negatively correlated with perception of work stress (r = −0.37, p < 0.01). Ethical leadership was positively related to LMX (r = 0.55, p < 0.01). We also found that LMX was negatively related to the perception of work stress (r = −0.35, p < 0.01).

Hierarchical regression analysis was conducted to test hypotheses 1. Control variables (age, gender, education, years in the company, and years in current position) were entered into the regression model firstly. And then, independent variable (ethical leadership) and mediator variable (LMX) were entered into the regression model. As shown in Table 2, after controlling the effects of control variables, ethical leadership was negatively related to the perception of work stress (β = −0.24, p < 0.001). Hypothesis 1 was supported.

The mediating role of LMX was tested following Baron and Kenny’s procedure (24). As indicated above, ethical leadership was negatively related to employees’ perception of work stress (β = −0.24, p < 0.001). As shown in Table 2, LMX was also negatively related to the perception of work stress (β = −0.30, p < 0.001). Meanwhile, ethical leadership was positively related to LMX (β = 0.59, p < 0.001). Finally, after including LMX in the regression equation, the significant effect of ethical leadership on perception of work stress disappeared (β = −0.09, ns), while LMX was significantly associated with perception of work stress (β = −0.25, p < 0.001). In conclusion, LMX completely mediated the relationship between ethical leadership and perception of work stress. Hypothesis 2 was supported.

The result of this study is shown in Figure 2.

DISCUSSION

Employees are experiencing high work stress in today’s work environment. Work stress not only disorders employees physically and psychologically, but also disturbs organizations seriously. The primary goal of the present study was to extend prior work stress research by investigating the impact of ethical leadership on employees’ perception of work stress. We built and tested a conceptual model in which ethical leadership influenced employees’ perception of work stress through LMX. As expected, our results indicated that ethical leadership was negatively related to employees’ perception of work stress, and this relationship was completely mediated by LMX. The implications and limitations of this study are discussed below.

Table 1. Means, standard deviations, correlations, and reliabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>35.24</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in the company</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>0.96**</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in current position</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>0.71**</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>0.74**</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical leadership</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>−0.27**</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>−0.21**</td>
<td>−0.37**</td>
<td>(0.95)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMX</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>0.26**</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.55**</td>
<td>(0.95)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of work stress</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.70**</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.18*</td>
<td>0.66**</td>
<td>0.49**</td>
<td>−0.37**</td>
<td>−0.35**</td>
<td>(0.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 203, Internal consistency reliabilities are in parentheses. **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05. LMX – Leader-member exchange.

Table 2. Regression analysis results for mediation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LMX vs. Cv + EL</th>
<th>WS vs. Cv + EL</th>
<th>WS vs. Cv + LMX</th>
<th>WS vs. Cv + LMX + EL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.64***</td>
<td>0.73***</td>
<td>0.69***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.20**</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in the company</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years in current position</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical leadership</td>
<td>0.59***</td>
<td>−0.24***</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMX</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.30***</td>
<td>−0.25***</td>
<td>−0.25***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>16.30***</td>
<td>36.24***</td>
<td>41.98***</td>
<td>36.51***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 203, values are standardized coefficients. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01. LMX – Leader-member exchange, WS – Perception of work stress, Cv – Control variables, EL – Ethical leadership.
First, this study made an initial attempt to explore the relationship between ethical leadership and employees’ perception of work stress. According to our results, employees will be less stressed at work when their leaders demonstrate normatively appropriate conduct. In addition to exhibiting concern, ethical leaders can also help employees relieve work stress by presenting fair treatment, establishing two-way communication and providing sufficient job autonomy. Identifying ethical leadership as an effective remedy for work stress, we contribute to the work stress literatures.

Secondly, we selected LMX as the mediator to explain how ethical leadership affected employees’ perception of work stress. The results of our study are congruent with previous research, pointing out a positive association between ethical leadership and LMX. Furthermore, employees might benefit from high-quality relationships with their ethical leaders, such as the reduction of work stress. Based on our study, it can be inferred that the relationships between leaders and subordinates were valuable resources to help employees cope with work stress.

Our study also has several practical implications. Due to the significant role of ethical leadership in decreasing employees’ perception of work stress, organizations can take steps to encourage managers’ ethical leadership. For example, organizations should emphasize the importance of moral identity when selecting managers. Organizations should invest in ethical leadership trainings and motivate managers to exhibit ethical behaviour by utilizing a variety of incentives. Measures of morality should be included into managers’ performance appraisal, which influence managers’ compensation and promotion. As shown in Table 1, employees’ age, years in the company and years in current position are negatively related to their perceptions of ethical leadership. It means that, for those older and experienced employees, their standards for ethical leader are higher than junior employees’. Therefore, to improve ethical leadership, managers should pay more attention to the senior employees, such as making more efforts to improve two-way communication with them.

In addition, our results enable managers to understand the mechanism of the relationship between ethical leadership and employees’ work stress. Employees’ perception of work stress can be lessened if employees are involved in high-quality LMX. Thus, managers should build close relationships with their subordinates by promoting interpersonal interactions, showing trust, and providing developmental feedback.

There are several limitations of the present study. First, all variables in our study were self-reported, common method bias may be a threat to our conclusion. Future research should collect data from different sources. For example, it should be worth to measure work stress using physiological indicators, such as blood pressure. Secondly, cross-sectional design of this study prevented us from ascertaining the causal relationships between variables. Future research should use a longitudinal design to reconfirm the causal inferences found here. Third, data from a single organization may limit the generalizability of our findings. Future research should examine our results in other organizational settings. The last but not least important, we did not take work performance into consideration in this study. The future study can construct a comprehensive model to examine the relationship between ethical leadership, work stress, and work performance.
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