COMPREHENSIVE GROUP THERAPY OF OBESITY AND ITS IMPACT ON SELECTED ANTHROPOMETRIC AND POSTURAL PARAMETERS
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is considered one of the most serious lifestyle diseases, reaching pandemic proportions in the 21st century. It is usually determined by multiple factors. The condition affects an individual’s life both qualitatively and quantitatively (1, 2).

Body mass index (BMI) is a simple measure easy to calculate using a person’s height and weight. This simplicity, however, is linked to inaccuracy and thus disadvantages (3). There are numerous factors that negatively influence the potential use of BMI to assess an individual’s constitution. A single measure and set of defined values cannot be used to evaluate the entire population even though certain ranges have been defined. It is necessary to consider the assessed person’s age, gender, overall physical constitution, and others (4, 5). Waist circumference is a separate measure and risk factor. It is one of the major criteria for assessing the presence of metabolic syndrome (MS). It indicates the amount of abdominal fat which, unlike subcutaneous adipose tissue, is metabolically active and thus poses a significant risk. The International Diabetes Federation has stressed the importance of central obesity as the key factor behind MS. The values are interpreted with respect to gender. Normal waist circumference is less than 94 cm for males and less than 80 cm for females. Values above 102 cm in males and 94 cm in females are associated with significant cardiometabolic risk (1, 6).

SUMMARY

Objective: Obesity is a multifactorial disease. This non-infectious epidemic has reached pandemic proportions in the 21st century. Posture is a dynamic process referring to an active maintenance of body movement segments against the action of external forces. The aim of the study was to investigate the effect of comprehensive group therapy for obese persons on selected anthropometric and postural parameters.

Methods: The study comprised 53 females with a mean age of 44.5 years (range 29–65 years, standard deviation 9.42 years, median 44 years), who completed a controlled weight loss programme. At the beginning and at the end of the programme, anthropometric parameters (Body Mass Index (BMI), weight and waist circumference) were measured and the posturography tests Limits of Stability (LOS) and Motor Control Test (MCT) were performed using the NeuroCom’s SMART EquiTest system. The data were statistically analyzed using R software at a level of significance of 0.05.

Results: There were positive changes after the controlled weight loss programme in anthropometric parameters (BMI reduction, with p < 0.001; waist circumference reduction, with p < 0.001; and weight loss, with p < 0.001), postural stability with statistically significant (p < 0.05) improvements in both postural activity (LOS test parameters) and reactions (MCT parameters).

Conclusion: The study showed a statistically significant effect of comprehensive group therapy for obesity in terms of reductions in waist circumference, body weight and BMI, and thus the overall reduction of both cardiovascular and metabolic risks, as well as improved postural skills (activity and reactions).
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study included 53 females (a mean age of 44.5 years) who completed a controlled weight loss programme at the Department of Exercise Medicine and Cardiovascular Rehabilitation, University Hospital Olomouc, from 2011–2014. The programme comprised a baseline comprehensive medical examination involving laboratory tests for metabolic and hormone disorders, as well as spirometry and cardiac stress tests to assess physical fitness and to determine the optimal heart rate for physical activity. Additionally, the Bodystat device was used to analyze body composition, that is, to measure body fat and basal metabolic rates.

Programme contains:

Week 1: Initial session
Week 2: Group intervention – motivation for lifestyle change
Week 3: Nutritional counselling – education on adequate dietary habits
Week 4: Physical activity – its role in weight loss
Weeks 5 to 7: Individual programme with optional consultations and individual exercise
Week 8: Follow-up examination – evaluating success of the weight loss programme, Bodystat
Week 26: Follow-up examination – evaluating success of the weight loss programme, Bodystat

For each participant (in our study), two measurements were carried out, at the beginning of the programme and eight weeks later. Basic anthropometric parameters were obtained using a measure, digital weighing scales and a tape measure. Postural parameters were measured with the following NeuroCom’s SMART EquiTest CDP products:

- Motor Control Test (MCT) assesses the motor system’s ability to restore postural stability after an unexpected external stimulus (small, medium and large magnitude of forward and backward translations of the force plate) (14). It determines the effectiveness of automatic postural reactions depending on the direction and magnitude of translation. Three degrees of translation are tested by three measurements each. Small translation corresponds to a threshold stimulus; medium and large translations to a suprathreshold stimulus.

- The parameters tested by MCT are weight symmetry – mean distribution of body weight during translations (%), latency – effectiveness of reaction to force plate translation (ms), and amplitude scaling – quantification of active force response to force plate translation for each extremity (10, 14).

In the present study, the latency parameter was used as it most accurately reflects an individual’s postural reactions.

- Limits of Stability (LOS) express the maximum distance to which an individual’s center of gravity may be shifted without loss of balance and the use of external support. The LOS test is performed for a total of eight directions (forward, right, backward, left, and diagonal – forward-right, backward-right, backward-left, and forward-left). The initial position of the centre of pressure (COP) is always the centre.

The parameters tested by LOS are reaction time (RT) – response to a sound signal (s); movement velocity (MVL) – speed of COP upon reaching a pre-defined point (%); endpoint excursion (EPE) – distance of the COP movement at first attempt to reach the limits of stability (%); directional control (DCL) – control over direction of the COP movement (%), with a value of 100% indicating a straight line; and maximum excursion (MXE) – maximum shift of COP in particular direction (%), equal to the limit of stability (10, 14).

The data were statistically analyzed using R software at a level of significance of 0.05.
RESULTS

After eight weeks, there were statistically significant changes in selected anthropometric parameters (Table 1).

The therapy resulted in statistically significant reductions in waist circumference (mean value before therapy 108.6 cm, mean value after therapy 104.94 cm, p < 0.001), body weight (mean value before therapy 98.72 kg, mean value after therapy 94.81 kg, p < 0.001) and BMI (mean value before therapy 35.45, mean value after therapy 34.04, p < 0.001).

The assessment of postural parameters showed a positive effect of the comprehensive therapy with statistically significant results in the vast majority of the parameters.

The LOS test demonstrated a statistically significant change in the RT parameter, with statistically significant improvements in all directions (p < 0.05). In the MXE parameter, significant improvements were noted for seven directions (p < 0.05), the only statistically non-significant change was in direction 8, i.e. forward-left (p > 0.053). The EPE parameter was statistically significantly improved in all directions (p < 0.05). In the DCL parameter, significant improvements were observed in seven directions (p < 0.05), improvement in direction 7, i.e. left, was not statistically significant (p > 0.099). The MVL parameter was statistically significantly improved in all directions (p < 0.05).

The overall evaluation of the LOS test, i.e. after comparison and statistical analysis of results of the individual test components, the mean values of results showed improvements in all parameters tested. The greatest and smallest improvements were noted for MVL (30.6%) and MXE (7.4%), respectively. The changes in the LOS parameters are summarized in Fig. 1.

The assessment of the MCT latency parameter showed improvements of the mean values for both extremities and all degrees of translation. The greatest improvement was observed for the left lower extremity and small backward translation (9%), the smallest improvements were demonstrated for the left lower extremity and large forward translation (4%), and for the right lower extremity and large backward translation (4%). The changes in the MCT latency parameter are summarized in Fig. 2.

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of the sample (N = 53)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>25% quantile</th>
<th>75% quantile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>88.869</td>
<td>9.406</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight before therapy (kg)</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>144.4</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>206.565</td>
<td>14.372</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>105.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI before therapy</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>196.131</td>
<td>14.005</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight after therapy (kg)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>23.258</td>
<td>4.823</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI after therapy</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>21.838</td>
<td>4.673</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss (kg)</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7.819</td>
<td>2.796</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference before therapy (cm)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>106.321</td>
<td>10.311</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference after therapy (cm)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>104.9</td>
<td>104.478</td>
<td>10.221</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference reduction (cm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>7.882</td>
<td>2.808</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Changes in individual LOS test parameters. Values above 0 – improvement, below 0 – deterioration; bottom of the box – 25% quantile, top of the box – 75% quantile; band inside the box – median; circles – outliers; RT – reaction time, MVL – movement velocity, EPE – endpoint excursion, DCL – directional control, MXE – maximum excursion.

Fig. 2. Changes in MCT. Values above 0 – improvement, below 0 – deterioration; bottom of the box – 25% quantile, top of the box – 75% quantile; band inside the box – median; circles – outliers; Small.B – small magnitude of backward translations of the force plate; Medium.B – medium magnitude of backward translations of the force plate; Large.B – large magnitude of backward translations of the force plate; Small.F – small magnitude of forward translations of the force plate; Medium.F – medium magnitude of forward translations of the force plate; Large.F – large magnitude of forward translations of the force plate.
DISCUSSION

The study showed that completing a weight loss programme comprising not only dietary modifications but also implementation of a physical activity regimen resulted in improvements of both anthropometric and nearly all postural stability parameters. Unlike the present study including only females, a study by Ku et al. on the relationship between body weight and stability and the relationship between postural stability, BMI and gender in young adults showed an inverse association between postural stability and increasing BMI, and pointed to the fact that postural control is better in underweight persons, corresponding to the above findings, and that young females displayed a greater postural sway in the anterior-posterior and lateral directions than males (15). Both genders were also investigated by Cruz-Goméz et al. (16) who compared differences in the postural sway between males and females in various BMI categories during 4 conditions. Two measurements were made using a hard-surface force platform with subjects having their eyes opened and then closed. The other two measurements were made with subjects standing on a 5-cm layer of foam rubber, once again with the eyes opened and then closed. Each measurement lasted 25.6 seconds. The participants were asked to stand upright, as still as possible, with their arms at their sides. The length and the area of oscillation and the displacement velocity of COP as a function of the anterior-posterior or lateral-lateral position. The study showed statistically significant increases in the length and area of sway in obese individuals standing on a hard surface. Closing the eyes produced a larger increase of sway in the obese subjects. When recordings were made on a soft surface, a larger area of sway was observed in the obese group, with these subjects being more dependent on vision to control balance (16).

The present study investigated and confirmed, among others, the effect of weight loss on improved postural stability. Similarly, a positive correlation between postural instability and BMI was demonstrated by Greve et al. reporting that a 20% increase in body mass reduced the ability to respond to external stimuli, leading to impaired postural stability. Patients with BMI greater than 30 maintained longer times unbalanced. Thus, obesity influenced the limits of postural stability. The authors also stated that obesity affected the selection of motor strategies employed to maintain postural balance (17). Another interesting study is the one by Handrigan et al. (18) stressing that the relationship between BMI and postural stability should be investigated also in athletes even though BMI values are generally considered as misleading in athletes. Included in the study were obese and heavy athletic subjects. The two groups had similar BMI but there were differences in muscular mass, strength and training habits. The aim was to determine the contribution of muscular strength to reducing postural sway. The results showed similar postural sway in both groups, suggesting that the amount of postural sway is not determined by lower limb muscular strength. This supports the hypothesis that body weight has a greater impact on postural sway than muscular strength. However, this conclusion is only true for individuals with BMI over 30 (18). Thus, the primary factor influencing postural sway in person with greater BMI is weight.

In the present study, the initial position for the assessment was quiet bipedal standing and the centre of gravity displacement was recorded using a computer with respect to the tested parameters and using the limits of stability. Comparison of postural sway during quiet standing was also investigated by Laughton et al. who found greater COP displacement in obese subjects as compared with lean ones (19). In their study of postural stability in morbidly obese persons, Singh et al. (20) used quiet upright standing supposed to last for one hour. All of the morbidly obese subjects failed to do the task completed by only six non-obese controls. Therefore, only the first twenty minutes of the assessment were analyzed. To objectify and quantify the ability to move the centre of gravity forward, the functional reach test was selected. That is, a simpler test using only one direction as compared to the present study. The arm was raised to reach 90 degrees and the maximum forward reach was measured without a change in the base of support. The resulting difference was statistically significant, with non-obese controls reaching a mean of 40.19 and morbidly obese subjects reaching only 32.25 cm. Thus, consistently with the above studies, the ability to move the centre of gravity forward was reduced in morbidly obese individuals (20). By contrast, the use of posturography tests, as in the present study, was supported by Colné et al. (21), who reported that significant changes influencing balance in obese persons are linked to dynamic aspects of postural control. Additionally, the study showed that with the same COP position when standing, obese persons had less ability to sway forward or backward, as demonstrated by smaller COP shifts. The decrease in COP displacement is particularly striking for the backward sway as this is when COP is close to its position during quiet standing (21). Schieppati et al. (22) stated that testing the limits of stability is a better indicator for assessing postural stability than measurements of COP displacement only. It was found that in obese subjects, most parameters associated with COP dynamics are decreased. Upon increasing gait velocity, however, the same change in parameters occurred in both groups.

Due to their excess weight, the overall performance is reduced in obese individuals, these are slower and have more difficulties controlling their vertical stability. To maintain stability, obese individuals choose to slow their movements (22). These limits of stability were also used in the present study, making the results more accurate. Different initial positioning was used in a study by Greve et al., showing a positive relationship between BMI and balancing on a single leg. They assessed 40 males whose postural stability was statistically significantly poorer if their BMI increased (17).

It should be mentioned that impaired postural stability is associated with a risk for falls, potentially leading to trauma and subsequent disability. The above finding that balance improves following weight loss were supported in a metaanalysis by Del Porto et al. (23). The authors also stated that the incidence of falls increases with body mass. Weight loss is able to reduce or even eliminate changes developed by obese persons as a result of adaptations to obesity. High levels of weight loss lead to significant improvements in both postural stability and relative strength. Weight loss, together with strength and balance training, may be the most effective approach to improving balance in the obese, potentially reducing the risk of falls and fractures (23). Corbeil et al. reported that the obese moved from a neutral standing position may be at a higher risk for falls than non-obese persons, and that obese individuals are more difficult to destabilize as well as stabilize afterwards (24). Similarly, Ledin and Odkvist and McGraw et al. showed in their studies that adipose tissue accumulation
in extremely obese teenagers and adults may result in impaired balance and contribute to falls (25, 26).

Cruz-Gómez et al. reported a lower quality of life in obese individuals when compared with their normal-weigh counterparts, as well as a higher prevalence of falls and stumbling when walking (16).

Consistently with the above studies, the present study showed improvements in postural activity (the LOS test) and reactions (MCT) and thus the overall postural stability of subjects after weight loss. Other interesting studies on the association between weight and stability include those by Maki et al. and Meyer et al., confirming the strong link between balance and body mass. They claim that the correlation between balance and weight is related to the involvement of plantar mechanoreceptors and skin sensitivity in postural control and the fact that sensitivity of these mechanoreceptors is likely to be inhibited (27, 28). The present results support recent research showing that obese adults have higher values of plantar contact area and mean plantar pressure (29). Similarly, Hue et al. stated that obesity was linked to a decrease in balance stability, with the reasons for a strong correlation between postural stability and body weight including, for instance, an association with plantar mechanoreceptors. These are linked to skin sensitivity that influences balance correction. In the obese, the plantar contact area is larger, producing more pressure on the ground and potentially reducing the quality and/or quantity of information for the mechanoreceptors (30).

The above studies clearly demonstrate impaired postural control in obese individuals. The trends in partial results of individual studies are consistent with those in the present study. No comprehensive research covering all parameters and their relationships as contained in the present study is available in the literature. When confronting our results with those available in the literature, it may be concluded that obesity definitely impairs postural skills of an individual and constitutes a risk for trauma to the musculoskeletal system, and that weight loss and waist circumference reduction clearly lead to improved stability, work with the centre of gravity and adaptation to posturally challenging situations in an individual. Thus, both the ability to actively change the centre of gravity depending on particular situation and reactions to a sudden impulse are improved. Their alteration might otherwise lead to falls, injuries and disability.

**CONCLUSION**

Even though at the present time obesity and its negative impact on the organism are mainly viewed from a cardiometabolic perspective, it must be realized that obesity also has a considerable negative impact on the musculoskeletal system. Although the consequences are usually less dramatic than cardiac or metabolic complications, the overall quality of life is severely affected. Gradually increasing physical inactivity, weight-bearing joint pain, impaired movement coordination, reduced postural stability and reactions, frequent injuries, need for chronic analgesia use that is not free from adverse effects, and psychological deprivation; these all gradually limit the work, sports and, later, daily living activities of an individual. There is no need to stress that treatment of these conditions is associated with a considerable financial and organizational burden for society as no successful therapy for the negative consequences of obesity on the musculoskeletal system is available as yet. Therefore, these consequences should be minimized by all means possible and, where feasible, prevented by all means possible.

Based on analysis of the study results, it may be concluded that comprehensive group therapy for obesity is clearly beneficial for both weight loss and waist circumference reduction, thus decreasing the overall cardiovascular and metabolic risk, and improving postural parameters, namely postural activity and work with the centre of gravity (velocity, displacement – the LOS test) and reactions (response to a sudden impulse of various intensity – MCT).
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