

CORRECTION TO: HSPB7 GENE POLYMORPHISM ASSOCIATED WITH ANTHROPOMETRIC PARAMETERS OF OBESITY AND FAT INTAKE IN A CENTRAL EUROPEAN POPULATION

Tereza Pavlová^{1,2}, Jan Novák^{1,3}, Filip Zlámal^{1,2}, Julie Bienertová-Vašková^{1,2}

¹Department of Pathological Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

²Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

³Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Address for correspondence: T. Pavlová, Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kamenice 753/5, Building A29, 625 00 Brno, Czech Republic. E-mail: pavlova@recetox.muni.cz

<https://doi.org/10.21101/cejph.a5752>

Correction to: Central European Journal of Public Health 2018; 26 (4): 272–277
<https://doi.org/10.21101/cejph.a4921>

We would like to submit the following correction to our recently published paper due to an error in the Table 2. The corrected table is given below.

Table 2. Association between HSPB7 Genotypes and anthropometric and nutritional variables

Variable	Genotype significant differences	Mean \pm SD	p-value
Daily proportion of fat in the diet	Obese males + females: AA vs. TT (81 vs. 26)	36.0 \pm 4.4% vs. 33.3 \pm 5.9%	0.061
Total daily intake of fat	Non-obese + obese males + females: AA vs. TT (246 vs. 59)	80.5 \pm 29.8 g vs. 74.1 \pm 31.3 g	0.082
	Non-obese + obese males + females: AT vs. TT (205 vs. 59)	82.6 \pm 29.2 g vs. 74.1 \pm 31.3 g	0.023
Triceptal skinfold thickness	Non-obese + obese males: AA vs. TT (49 vs. 18)	22.8 \pm 7.7 mm vs. 18.1 \pm 6.0 mm	0.051
Biceptal skinfold thickness	Non-obese + obese males: AA vs. AT (49 vs. 49)	17.3 \pm 8.3 mm vs. 14.0 \pm 7.4 mm	0.038

The summary of the intergroup comparison based on linear modelling of the relationship between HSPB7 and anthropometric and nutritional variables. The differences between groups were tested using ANOVA and then p-values were corrected using Tukey's honestly significant difference post hoc test. P-values in bold are statistically significant.

The publisher apologizes for any inconvenience caused to our readers.